BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE

IN	THE	MAT	ΓER	OF	THE	:)	
)	
PEF	RMITT	CING	ANI))	
		E	NFOI	RCEI	MENT	COMMITI	CEE	,
								,

DATE AND TIME: TUESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1997

9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM

8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR

CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 42701

APPEARANCES

MR. ROBERT FRAZEE, CHAIRMAN MR. STEVEN R. JONES, MEMBER MR. PAUL RELIS, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. RALPH CHANDLER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MR. KEITH SMITH, DEPUTY DIRECTOR MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL

MS. LORI LOPEZ, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE NO.
3	CALL TO ORDER	5
4 5	EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS ITEM 1: REPORT FROM THE DEPUTY DEPUTY OF THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT IN	
6	ITEM 2: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT A. CONSIDERATION OF A RE	
7	FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE EEL RIVER AND TRANSFER STATION, HUMBOLDT COU	
8	ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SO FACILITY PERMIT FOR SHEEP CREEK TO	
9	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY STAFF PRESENTATION	28
10	PUBLIC TESTIMONY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
11	ACTION	30
12 13	ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SO FACILITY PERMIT FOR TRAILS END MORE TRANSFER STATION, SAN BERNARDINO OF A NEW SO.	RONGO VALLEY
	STAFF PRESENTATION	31
14	PUBLIC TESTIMONY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	31
15	ACTION	32
16	ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE OROVILLE S	
17	TRANSFER STATION, BUTTE COUNTY. STAFF PRESENTATION	34
18	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	36
	COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	36
19	ACTION	38
20	ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SOF FACILITY PERMIT FOR NEWBERRY SPRING	
21	STATION, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.	2.0
22	STAFF PRESENTATION PUBLIC TESTIMONY	32 34
4 4	COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	3 1

23	ACTION	33

24 ITEM 7: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE BORON SANITARY 25 LANDFILL, KERN COUNTY.

ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE ARVIN SANITARY LANDFILL, KERN COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 39
PUBLIC TESTIMONY 40
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 41
ACTION 46

ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING PERMIT FOR THE SUN-LAND GARDEN PRODUCTS COMPOSTING FACILITY, MONTEREY COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 47
PUBLIC TESTIMONY 50
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 50, 53
ACTION 55

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SITE FOR THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM.

STAFF PRESENTATION 55

PUBLIC TESTIMONY 58

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 56, 61 ACTION

66

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF A CONTRACT CONCEPT FOR THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM.

STAFF PRESENTATION 67

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 68

ACTION 69

ITEM 12: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF SITES FOR REMEDIATION UNDER THE WASTE TIRE STABILIZATION AND ABATEMENT PROGRAM.

ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION AND REAPPROVAL OF A PORTION OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER.

STAFF PRESENTATION 69
PUBLIC TESTIMONY 75

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 74, 78 ACTION

81

ITEM 14: OPEN DISCUSSION

ITEM 15: ADJOURNMENT? 82

```
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, DECEMBER 9, 1997
1
 2
                          9:30 A.M.
 3
              CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THE MEETING WILL COME TO
 4
      ORDER, PLEASE. THIS IS THE TUESDAY, DECEMBER 9TH
 5
6
      MEETING OF THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE
7
      OF THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT
8
      BOARD. SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
9
              THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
10
             MEMBER JONES: HERE.
11
         THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
12
             MEMBER RELIS: HERE.
13
              THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
14
             CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: HERE. ALL MEMBERS ARE
15 PRESENT.
16
                  DO WE HAVE ANY EX PARTE COMMUNICA-
17
  TIONS?
18
              MEMBER JONES: NO.
19
             MEMBER RELIS: NO.
20
             CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NONE FOR ME.
21
                   AND BY WAY OF ANNOUNCEMENTS, FIRST
ON
      THE AGENDA, ITEM 7 AND ITEM 12 HAVE BEEN PULLED
22
FROM CONSIDERATION TODAY.
```

24 AND AS USUAL, THERE ARE SPEAKER

SLIPS

ON THE BACK TABLE. IF ANYONE WISHES TO SPEAK TO 5

- 1 THE COMMITTEE ON ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA, IF THEY
- 2 WOULD FILL OUT A SLIP AND BRING IT FORWARD TO THE
- 3 COMMITTEE SECRETARY SO THAT WE CAN CALL ON YOU AT
- 4 THE APPROPRIATE TIME.
- 5 NOW, FIRST OF ALL, WE'LL START WITH
- 6 THE REPORT FROM THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR.
- 7 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 8 MEMBERS, AND GOOD MORNING. A VERY BRIEF REPORT
- 9 THIS MORNING, TWO ITEMS. FIRST OF ALL, THE MONTHLY
- 10 UPDATE ON ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN BY LEA'S FOR
- 11 VIOLATIONS AT STORAGE AND CHIPPING AND GRINDING
- 12 ACTIVITIES, AND THEN I THOUGHT I'D PROVIDE SOME
- 13 VERY BRIEF INFORMATION ON THE NUMBER OF REGULATORY
- 14 PACKAGES WE HAVE GOING IN THE DIVISION TO PROVIDE
- 15 YOU WITH SOME MILESTONES THERE.
- 16 I DO HAVE A MEMO THAT I MEANT TO GET
- 17 TO YOU EARLIER, BUT I'LL PROVIDE YOU RIGHT NOW.
- 18 THIS IS A WRITTEN UPDATE ON THE ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS
- 19 TAKEN BY LEA'S FOR VIOLATIONS AT STORAGE AND
- 20 CHIPPING AND GRINDING ACTIVITIES. I DO HAVE A FEW
- 21 COPIES UP HERE AND WILL ARRANGE TO HAVE MORE COPIES
- 22 MADE FOR THE AUDIENCE AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES
- AS SOON AS I'M ABLE TO GET THAT DONE. I'LL ALSO
- 24 GET ADDITIONAL HARD COPIES TO YOUR OFFICES IN CASE

THESE ARE MISPLACED.

1	FIRST OF ALL, I WANT TO SAY THAT WE
2	FEEL FAIRLY CONFIDENT WITH THIS REPORT THAT WE ARE
3	COVERING ALL LEA JURISDICTIONS. WE DID A COMPRE-
4	HENSIVE SURVEY AND WILL CONTINUE TO DO THAT MONTHLY
5	AND HAD TREMENDOUS COOPERATION FROM THE LEA'S IN
6	TERMS OF GIVING US THIS INFORMATION. SO I WANT TO
7	THANK THEM AND ALSO SINCERELY THANK BRIAN LARRIMORE
8	FOR PULLING THIS TOGETHER AND WOULD APPRECIATE ANY
9	FEEDBACK ON WHETHER THIS MEETS YOUR NEEDS FOR THIS
10	KIND OF REPORTING ON A MONTHLY BASIS.
11	THE REPORT DEFINES AND INCLUDES
12	ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS WHICH INCLUDE NOTICE AND
13	ORDERS, CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS, AND NOTICES OF
14	VIOLATION. AND AS I INDICATED, WE DO BELIEVE IT
15	CONTAINS INFORMATION FROM ALL LEA JURISDICTIONS
16	THAT WE SURVEYED. YOU WILL NOTE THAT ENFORCEMENT
17	ACTIONS ARE UNDER WAY IN A NUMBER OF COUNTIES:
18	FRESNO COUNTY, KERN COUNTY, L.A. COUNTY, ORANGE
19	COUNTY, RIVERSIDE, A NUMBER OF ORDERS AND ACTIONS
20	IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY IN VARIOUS LOCATIONS, TWO IN
21	SACRAMENTO COUNTY, PACIFIC SOUTHWEST FARMS IN SAN
22	BERNARDINO COUNTY, WHICH I KNOW YOU ARE FAMILIAR
23	WITH, TWO IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY, WHICH I BELIEVE YOU

- 24 ARE ALSO SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH, AND THEN LASTLY,
- 25 REPORTING ON VENTURA COUNTY WHERE THERE CONTINUES

1 TO BE DISCUSSION BETWEEN COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE LEA 2 AS TO WHETHER THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING STANDARD 3 CAN BE UTILIZED AS AN ENFORCEMENT TOOL. 4 I UNDERSTAND THERE HAS NOT BEEN AN 5 ACTION TAKEN, BUT IT'S UNDER CONSIDERATION BY THE COUNTY, SO WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS 6 7 ABOUT THIS INFORMATION OR PROVIDE YOU TIME TO READ 8 IT AT YOUR LEISURE AND GET BACK TO STAFF IF YOU WOULD LIKE MORE DETAIL ON ANY OF THESE ACTIONS. 9 10 BUT WE DO FEEL, BASED ON THIS REPORTING, THAT THE REGULATIONS ARE BEING UTILIZED, AND LEA'S ARE 11 12 CONSIDERING UTILIZING THEM WHEN THESE SITUATIONS 13 ARISE. YOU WILL NOTE FROM THE WRITEUP THAT 14 IN SOME INSTANCES LOCAL ORDINANCES WERE USED AS THE 15 ENFORCEMENT TOOL RATHER THAN THE REGULATIONS, BUT 16 THE SENSE IS THAT CONSIDERATION IS GIVEN TO WHAT IS 17 THE APPROPRIATE TOOL, WHETHER IT'S THESE REGULA-18 TIONS OR A LOCAL ORDINANCE OR SOME OTHER 19 MECHANISM. SO I THINK THAT'S WHAT YOUR DESIRE WAS, 20 21 TO SEE THAT LEA'S WERE AWARE OF THEM AND CONSIDERED THEIR USE WHEN APPROPRIATE.

CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THIS IS ALL OF THE

22

- 24 OUTSTANDING CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS.
- MS. RICE: CORRECT.

CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NOT JUST NEW ONES, BUT 1 2 ALL OF THE OUTSTANDING. 3 MS. RICE: THAT'S CORRECT. IF YOU WILL NOTICE FROM THE DATE, SOME OF THEM GO BACK QUITE A 4 WAYS, AND I BELIEVE WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO COVER THE 5 б PERIOD FROM THE TIME THAT THE EMERGENCY REGULATIONS 7 BECAME EFFECTIVE IN APRIL OF THIS YEAR TO THE 8 CURRENT TIME. SO SOME OF THESE ORDERS WERE ISSUED SOME TIME AGO, AND THEN THE WRITEUP PROVIDES, IN 9 SOME CASES, INFORMATION ON WHETHER IT WAS 10 SUCCESSFUL. 11 12 FOR EXAMPLE, THERE ARE A COUPLE OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS NOTED WHERE THE OPERATIONS 13 HAVE CEASED, AND SO THAT'S CLEARLY A SUCCESSFUL 14 ACTION THAT WAS TAKEN. OTHERS WHERE YOU WILL NOTE 15 THAT A NUMBER OF ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS WERE NECESSARY 16 17 AND THE EFFORT IS STILL ONGOING. CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AND THEN THERE ARE SOME 18 19 THAT ARE OBVIOUS TO ME THAT APPARENTLY HAVE -- THE CEASE AND DESIST ORDER HAS COME THROUGH OTHER MEANS 20 THROUGH LAND USE REGULATION OR SOMETHING OF THAT 21 22 NATURE AND DO NOT APPEAR ON HERE. MS. RICE: WELL, WE MADE AN EFFORT TO 23

INCLUDE THOSE. SO IF YOU ARE AWARE OF SOME, IF

YOU'D BRING THOSE TO OUR ATTENTION, WE'D BE HAPPY

- 1 TO CONTACT THE LEA BECAUSE WE DID NOT RESTRICT THIS
- 2 MEMO TO ENFORCEMENT UNDER THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING
- 3 REGULATION. SO WE ATTEMPTED TO NOTE OTHER
- 4 MECHANISMS SO THAT YOU COULD GET A SENSE OF WHAT
- 5 TOOLS THE LEA WAS USING, SO WE WERE TRYING TO BE
- 6 INCLUSIVE, BUT DID OUR BEST.
- 7 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I THINK THIS IS
- 8 QUITE A LIST. I DIDN'T REALIZE WE HAD SO MUCH
- 9 ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITY GOING ON OUT THERE. I JUST
- 10 HAD A BRIEF QUESTION, AND THEN I'LL NEED TO SPEND
- 11 MORE TIME WITH THIS LIST AND PROBABLY HAVE MY
- 12 OFFICE CONTACT YOU, DOROTHY.
- 13 BUT UNDER THE VENTURA COUNTY ITEM,
- 14 THE -- THERE'S REFERENCE, THE LEA ACTION AGAINST
- 15 THIS SITE IS STALLED AS THEIR COUNTY COUNSEL HAS
- 16 NIXED THE LEA'S PROPOSED CEASE AND DESIST ORDER.
- 17 AND THEN FURTHER DOWN IT SAYS, CITY PLANNING WILL
- NOT TAKE ACTION AS THE SITE CONTRIBUTES TO THE 50-
- 19 PERCENT MANDATE. THAT'S KIND OF AN INTERESTING
- 20 TWIST.
- 21 MS. RICE: I ASSUME THAT STAFF WAS
- 22 PARAPHRASING THINGS THEY HEARD FROM THE LEA WHEN
- 23 THE CONTACTED HIM FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THIS. I
- 24 THINK IT HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THIS

25 COMMITTEE BEFORE, IN PARTICULAR WHEN YOU WERE 10

1 DEBATING THE CHIPPING AND GRINDING REGULATIONS, THAT VENTURA COUNTY COUNSEL DOES NOT FEEL THAT TOOL 2 3 TO BE A VERY EFFECTIVE ONE AND IS NOT UTILIZING IT, SO THIS IS NOT NEW INFORMATION TO US. 4 5 AND I BELIEVE ELLIOT BLOCK HAS TALKED TO THE COUNTY COUNSEL THERE IN AN ATTEMPT TO 6 7 CLARIFY THAT. I KNOW OUR COUNSEL FEELS THAT THE 8 REGULATIONS CAN BE UTILIZED, THAT THERE IS FULL 9 AUTHORITY, BUT ATTORNEYS WILL DISAGREE, I GATHER. 10 MEMBER RELIS: WELL, ALWAYS IN THE SAKE OF -- FOR THE SAKE OF BEING AS UNIFORM AS POSSIBLE 11 12 ON ENFORCEMENT-TYPE ISSUES, I MEAN ONE OF THE CRITICISMS ALWAYS LEVELED AT STATE GOVERNMENT, 13 ESPECIALLY WHEN WE HAVE A REGIONAL CORRELATE OR 14 15 SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND OUR LEA'S ARE THAT AS WELL, IT'S ALL OVER THE MAP. SOME AREA YOU GET ENFORCED 16 AGAINST, ANOTHER YOU WOULDN'T, AND IT JUST SEEMS AT 17 18 TIMES ARBITRARY. MS. RICE: UNDERSTAND. AND I KNOW THIS 19 COMMITTEE HAS PROVIDED DIRECTION TO US IN PRIOR 20 21 DISCUSSION TO GET SOME GUIDANCE OUT TO LEA'S IN TERMS OF OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THESE REGULATIONS AND 22

THE AUTHORITY THEY PROVIDE.

24		AS	Ι	WAS	GOING	ТО	MENTION	IN	MY
25	REGULATORY	UPDATE	l,	THE	PERMAN	IENT	CHIPPI	1G	AND
				1 .	1				

- 1 GRINDING REGS ARE CURRENTLY AT OAL WITH THE 30-DAY
- 2 REVIEW PERIOD TO END THE 12TH OF JANUARY. SO AS
- 3 SOON AS THOSE FINAL REGS ARE IN PLACE, WE WOULD BE
- 4 PUTTING AN ADVISORY OUT TO LEA'S THAT DESCRIBE THE
- 5 REGULATIONS AND THE AUTHORITY WE BELIEVE THEY
- 6 IMPART AND WOULD HIT VERY STRONGLY ON THIS POINT,
- 7 THAT WE DO FEEL THE LEA HAS THE AUTHORITY TO
- 8 UTILIZE THESE REGULATIONS.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE TWO
- 10 QUESTIONS. ON THE WEAVER SITE IN BAKERSFIELD, IT
- 11 SAYS THERE'S NO -- THAT IT'S INACTIVE RIGHT NOW.
- 12 IS -- HOW BIG ARE THE STOCKPILES? SIMILAR TO WHAT
- WE SAW IN MERCED?
- 14 MS. RICE: I BELIEVE THE LEA IS PRESENT
- 15 AND MAY WANT TO SPEAK TO THAT SITE MORE ACCURATELY
- 16 THAN I COULD. HE WAS HERE A MOMENT AGO. DOES
- 17 STAFF HAVE AN ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION? I DO NOT.
- 18 BILL WAS HERE A MOMENT AGO. PERHAPS HE'LL STEP
- 19 BACK IN.
- 20 MEMBER JONES: I MEAN IF IT'S INACTIVE AND
- 21 WE'VE GOT THE STUFF, WHO'S GOING TO DO THE CLEANUP
- ON IT? US?
- AND THEN ON THE SACRAMENTO ONE, THE

24	AMERI	CAN	RI	VER :	RECYC	CLING,	I'M	PRETI	ry s	URE	TH	IS	WAS
25	THE S	ITE	I	WENT	AND	SAW. 12	THIS	ONE	HAS	BEE	:N -		THIS

- 1 HAS GOT A CEASE AND DESIST, AND I GUESS THEY'RE
- 2 TRYING TO CLEAN IT UP, BUT MY QUESTION IS THEY'VE
- 3 MOVED TO ANOTHER FACILITY. AND AT THAT OTHER
- 4 FACILITY, THERE'S COMP ROOFING AND THERE'S A LOT OF
- 5 COMP, A LOT OF TILE ROOFING WHICH DON'T FALL UNDER
- 6 THE CHIP AND GRIND. THIS IS CLEARLY A LANDFILL IN
- 7 THE MIDDLE OF THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO. WHAT ARE
- 8 WE DOING AS FAR AS, YOU KNOW, WHEN AN OPERATION
- 9 GETS SHUT DOWN WITH A CEASE AND DESIST AND THEN
- 10 OPENS A MILE AND A HALF AWAY AS A RECYCLING
- 11 FACILITY? WHAT DO WE -- HOW DO WE DEAL WITH THAT?
- MS. RICE: WELL, THE LEA, I ASSUME,

SHOULD

- 13 THEN PURSUE THAT OTHER FACILITY AND SEE WHAT
- 14 ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM THEY WANT TO USE TO DEAL

WITH

- 15 IT. IF IT'S NOT ONE THAT FITS UNDER THE CHIPPING
- 16 AND GRINDING REGULATION, FROM YOUR DESCRIPTION --

Ι

- 17 HAVEN'T SEEN THIS OPERATIONS, BUT IT SOUNDS AS
- 18 THOUGH YOU MAY BE TALKING ABOUT AN ILLEGAL

DISPOSAL

OR AN ILLEGAL TRANSFER OPERATION OF SOME KIND, SO

20	MY GUESS WOULD BE THAT THERE ARE TOOLS IN EXISTING
21	REGULATION THAT THE LEA COULD USE OTHER THAN THE
22	CHIPPING AND GRINDING STORAGE STANDARD. ALTHOUGH
23	THEY MAY BE ALSO ABLE TO USE THAT IF THERE ARE
24	MULTIPLE FEEDSTOCKS THERE ON THE PROPERTY. WE CAN
25	CERTAINLY CONTINUE DISCUSSION WITH THE LEA.

1 OUR INTENT WITH THIS REPORT IS TO 2 UPDATE IT MONTHLY. SO WE'RE TRYING TO DEVELOP AN 3 ONGOING DIALOGUE WITH THE LEA'S ABOUT ALL THESE 4 TYPES OF ACTIONS. SO I'LL DIRECT STAFF TO 5 SPECIFICALLY FOLLOW UP WITH SACRAMENTO COUNTY. I DON'T BELIEVE THEY'RE HERE TODAY, NOT HAVING ANY 6 PERMITS ON THE AGENDA. 7 8 MEMBER JONES: JUST IF YOU COULD JUST MAKE 9 A NOTE OF SOMETHING TO THINK ABOUT WHEN WE'RE 10 TALKING ABOUT THESE TYPES OF REGULATIONS AND THESE TYPES OF REMEDIES. WHAT I'M MORE WORRIED ABOUT, I 11 12 DON'T WANT TO SEE THE LEGITIMATE RECYCLING ISSUE GO AWAY BECAUSE I THINK WE NEED IT, BUT WHAT WE'VE GOT 13 TO THINK ABOUT, WHEN WE'RE DOING THESE STANDARDS, 14 15 IS -- AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THIS FACILITY IS RUN BY A ROOFER WHO IS GAINING AN ADVANTAGE, I'M SURE, BY 16 NOT PAYING DISPOSAL FEES THAT EVERYBODY ELSE IS. 17 IF THAT ROOFER WALKS AWAY FROM THESE FACILITIES, 18 THEN I'M SURE -- I MEAN I'M NOT POSITIVE, BUT I 19 WOULD BE WILLING TO BET THAT SOMEBODY IS GOING TO 20 21 COME TO US AND ASK US TO CLEAN IT UP WITH OUR DOLLARS. 22

AND I'M WONDERING HOW WE INCORPORATE

24	ΤIN	WHAII	LVER	TFAFT	JOF	REG	JLAIOI	XY (OVERSIG	нт	WŁ	NEED
25	ТО	HAVE	MAYB	BE AN		A OF 14	THAT	REC	CYCLING	· FA	ACII	LITIES

THAT REACH A CERTAIN -- THAT RECYCLE CERTAIN TYPES

2	OF MATERIAL, AND I WOULD THINK THESE WOULD BE THE
3	ONES BECAUSE THEY LEND THEMSELVES TO BECOMING
4	ILLEGAL DUMP SITES, EITHER DEAL WITH IT DOESN'T
5	REALLY HAVE TO BE A CLOSURE FUND, BUT I WAS
6	THINKING ABOUT IT YESTERDAY, MAYBE SOME TYPE OF A
7	BOND WHERE THE STOCKPILE IS SO MUCH MORE THAN WHAT
8	CAN ACTUALLY BE PROCESSED OR WHAT WOULD BE
9	CONSIDERED TO BE A NORMAL STOCKPILE OF MATERIAL
FOR	
10	PROCESSING PURPOSES, THAT THERE BE SOME KIND OF A
11	MECHANISM BECAUSE THE ADVANTAGE THAT'S GAINED
TODAY	
12	ISN'T GOING TO EXIST WHEN THE EXPENSE GOES TO
13	CLEANING THE MESS UP. DEPENDING ON WHAT THE
MARKET	
14	SHARE IS FOR THIS PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL MAY NOT
15	HAVE THE WHEREWITHAL IN TWO YEARS TO BE ABLE TO
16	CLEAN IT UP AND WALK AWAY FROM IT.
17	SO IT'S KIND OF A TRICKY DILEMMA,
AND	
18	I DON'T KNOW HOW WE DO IT, BUT I'D LIKE YOU TO
GIVE	

19	IT A LITTLE BIT OF THOUGHT, AND MAYBE A BOND IS
THE	
20	CHEAPEST WAY TO DEAL WITH IT, SO WE'RE NOT PAYING
21	TO CLEAN UP THESE ILLEGAL DUMPS, BUT THIS IS
22	CLEARLY AN ILLEGAL DUMP.
23	MS. RICE: IT'S CERTAINLY AN ISSUE, MR.
24	JONES, THAT COULD BE RAISED EITHER IN THE CONTEXT
25	OF THE TRANSFER PROCESSING STATION REGULATIONS,
THE	15

1	MRF REGULATIONS THAT ARE JUST SOON TO GO OUT FOR
2	THEIR FIRST 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD. SO IF THE
3	CONCERN IS WITH ALLEGED RECYCLING OPERATIONS AND
4	THEN LEAVING THE MATERIAL, THAT MIGHT BE A PLACE
5	WHERE THE COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD COULD DISCUSS
6	INCLUDING SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
7	AS YOU PROBABLY RECALL, INITIAL
8	DISCUSSIONS ON THE COMPOST REGULATIONS, IN FACT,
9	INCLUDED DISCUSSION OF WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE SOME
10	KIND OF FINANCIAL ASSURANCES OR CLOSURE MECHANISM
11	FOR A COMPOST FACILITY THAT HAS STOCKPILES OF
12	MATERIAL AND THEN GOES OUT OF BUSINESS. ULTIMATELY
13	THIS BOARD DID NOT OPT TO INCLUDE ANY SUCH
14	PROVISIONS, BUT THIS IS AN ISSUE YOU'VE DISCUSSED
15	BEFORE IN DIFFERENT CONTEXT OUT OF THE VERY SAME
16	CONCERN YOU'RE MENTIONING, THAT SOMEONE MAY HAVE A
17	VALID BUSINESS OPERATION TODAY OR GOOD INTENT TO
18	HAVE ONE, BUT THEY ARE IN THE BUSINESS OF STOCK-
19	PILING MATERIAL TO DO SOMETHING WITH IT, AND WHEN
20	THEY CEASE TO BE ABLE TO DO SOMETHING, THEY LEAVE A
21	STOCKPILE. SO IT'S CERTAINLY SOMETHING THAT
22	MEMBERS COULD DISCUSS IN THE CONTEXT OF ANY OF THE
23	UPCOMING REGULATIONS TO TIER VARIOUS TYPES OF

24 FACILITIES.

25 MEMBER JONES: I JUST WANTED YOU TO MAKE A

- 1 NOTE BECAUSE I MAY NOT REMEMBER WHEN WE GET TO THAT
- 2 DISCUSSION, BUT I DON'T WANT IT TO BE A HINDRANCE.
- 3 I JUST WANT IT TO BE SOME FORM OF PROTECTION
- 4 BECAUSE THE ONE SITE THAT I SAW OUT THERE HAS GOT
- 5 AN AWFUL LOT OF MATERIAL THAT'S GOING TO END UP
- 6 HAVING TO BE HAULED AWAY, AND THAT'S GOING TO COST
- 7 SOMEBODY AN AWFUL LOT OF MONEY.
- 8 MS. RICE: I'M SURE THAT THE VARIOUS
- 9 OPERATORS WILL VIEW IT AS A HINDRANCE WHEN IT'S
- 10 PROPOSED.
- 11 MEMBER RELIS: ON MR. JONES' POINT, I WAS
- 12 THINKING, LOOKING DOWN THIS LIST, IT MIGHT BE
- 13 HELPFUL TO US AS WE HEAD INTO THE PERMANENT REGS
- 14 DISCUSSION HERE TO GET AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE ON THE
- 15 POTENTIAL LIABILITY THAT THESE PILES REPRESENT,
- 16 LIKE WE ESTIMATE THAT IN, YOU KNOW, KERN COUNTY
- 17 THESE -- THERE ARE THESE SITES, AND THERE'S THIS
- 18 MUCH VOLUME AND THERE'S NO ACTIVITY.
- 19 I MEAN MOST OF THESE I WOULD EXPECT
- 20 OR AT LEAST A GOOD NUMBER OF THESE REPRESENT PILES
- 21 THAT ARE NOT LIKELY TO GO AWAY WITHOUT SOMEBODY
- 22 DOING SOMETHING. AND SO THAT'S A FINANCIAL COST
- 23 HANGING OUT THERE, WHICH THEN WOULD MAYBE HELP US

24	UNDERSTAND	WHAT MIGH	T BE, IF	WE WERE	TO GO DOWN
25	THAT ROAD,	STEVE, WE	AT WE'RE 17	LOOKING	AT TRYING TO

- 1 SET ASIDE, IF INDEED WE WENT THAT WAY.
- 2 MEMBER JONES: YEAH. I THINK THAT MAKES
- 3 SENSE. I THINK THE LEA FROM KERN COUNTY HAS WALKED
- 4 IN THE ROOM AND MAYBE COULD ANSWER THAT FIRST
- 5 QUESTION IF IT'S OKAY.
- 6 MS. RICE: PERHAPS YOU COULD REPEAT YOUR
- 7 QUESTION FOR HIM.
- 8 MEMBER JONES: YOU BET. MORNING.
- 9 MR. O'RULLIAN: HI. I'M BILL O'RULLIAN
- 10 FROM KERN COUNTY.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: ON THE WEAVER INDUSTRY SITE
- 12 THAT HAS BEEN ISSUED THE CEASE AND DESIST, IT SAYS
- 13 THERE'S INACTIVITY OR THERE'S NO ACTIVITY GOING ON
- 14 RIGHT NOW. I WAS JUST WONDERING HOW THE BIG THE
- 15 STOCKPILES OF MATERIAL ARE THERE.
- MR. O'RULLIAN: THERE IS LESS THAN 10,000
- 17 CUBIC YARDS OF PROCESSED MATERIAL. INITIALLY WE
- 18 RECEIVED A COMPLAINT FROM NEIGHBORING PROPERTY
- OWNERS, AND ABOUT EIGHT MONTHS AGO THAT AREA HAD A
- 20 LOT OF STOCKPILED, NONPROCESSED WASTE. THE SITE
- 21 WAS INACTIVE. THERE WAS NO ONE AT THE SITE. AND
- 22 THERE WERE ALSO PEOPLE THAT, BECAUSE IT HAD BEEN
- 23 PREVIOUSLY OPEN, THEY WERE STILL BRINGING GREEN
- 24 WASTE LOADS AND MIXED LOADS TO THE GATE AND DUMPING

THEM THERE. SO THERE WAS ALSO THIS ATTRACTIVE 18

```
1 NUISANCE THING.
```

- 2 WE ISSUED A NOTICE OF VIOLATION,
- 3 USING KERN COUNTY ORDINANCE CODES, AND THEY
- 4 COMPLIED IN PROCESSING THE UNPROCESSED MATERIAL TO
- 5 REDUCE THE RODENT HARBORAGE. AT THIS POINT THE
- 6 AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT'S THERE IS FAIRLY SMALL,
- 7 AND WE WOULD HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION WHETHER IT'S
- 8 WORTHWHILE GOING AFTER A SMALL PROCESSED PILE OF
- 9 GREEN WASTE. I THINK WE'D HAVE TO LOOK AT IT AGAIN
- 10 AND SEE IF IT WAS CREATING A NUISANCE.
- 11 ONE OF THE OTHER PROBLEMS ON THIS IS
- 12 THAT THERE ARE THE CONSTRUCTION DEMOLITION
- 13 CONTRACTORS IN KERN COUNTY THAT WILL TAKE IN
- 14 WOODWASTE AND THEN STOCKPILE IT ON THEIR PROPERTY,
- 15 OR THEY'LL TAKE IN A MIXED LOAD OF C&D AND SEPARATE
- 16 IT ON SITE. AND THIS IS A PROBLEM THAT WE'RE
- 17 PRESENTLY GRAPPLING WITH BECAUSE OF THE HIGH LOADS
- OF WOODWASTE THAT ARE BEING STOCKPILED ON PROPERTY.
- AND IF IT COMES IN SOURCE SEPARATED, IT'S NOT
- 20 REALLY A TRANSFER PROCESSING STATION. IT'S JUST
- 21 WOOD -- CLEAN WOOD THAT'S COMING IN.
- 22 ON -- AS FAR AS CLEANUP, YOU KNOW,
- 23 BONDING, I WOULD SUGGEST -- WE WOULD RECOMMEND
- 24 SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE DONE WITH LOKERN FARMS,

25 WHICH WILL BE ON YOUR AGENDA ON THE 17TH AS A 19

COMPOSTING SITE, AND THAT IS IF THE PROPERTY IS 1 2 LARGE ENOUGH AND IT IS ZONED FOR AGRICULTURAL USE, THAT THE STOCKPILING ON THE PROPERTY DOES NOT 3 EXCEED THE AGRONOMIC RATE IF THAT MATERIAL WAS 4 GOING TO BE TILLED INTO THE SOIL ON SITE. AND THAT 5 б REDUCES THE BOND CONSIDERABLY FOR A CLEANUP. AND 7 IF THE SITE IS OWNER OPERATED OR IS OWNED AND 8 OPERATED BY THE SAME PERSON AND IT'S AGRICULTURAL LAND, THAT MIGHT BE A GOOD SOLUTION FOR BOTH 9 10 PARTIES. 11 MEMBER RELIS: DO YOU HAVE THE CDFA FARM BUREAU ON BOARD TO HELP MAKE THAT CALCULATION? 12 MR. O'RULLIAN: WE ACTUALLY DID THAT. WE HAVE 13 BLAKE STANDEN FROM THE UC DAVIS AG EXTENSION, WHO'S 14 AN AGRONOMIST, SOIL SCIENTIST, AND WE RECOMMENDED 15 TO OUR PLANNING DEPARTMENT THAT THEY USE BLAKE AS 16 17 THE PERSON THAT WOULD SET THE LIMIT FOR THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT COULD BE STOCKPILED. AND BELIEVE 18 19 ME, THE AMOUNT, WHEN YOU THINK OF TILTH ON SOIL, 20 QUITE A LARGE QUANTITY OF MATERIAL CAN BE STOCK-PILED FOR A ONE-SHOT TILLING INTO THE SOIL. SO WE 21 22 HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT TOO. YOU KNOW, THAT WE DON'T CREATE SORT OF A REQUIREMENT THAT ALLOWS EXCESSIVE 23 24 STOCKPILING AND WE GET OTHER PROBLEMS, SUCH AS

25 SPONTANEOUS COMBUSTION OR ODORS OR SOMETHING LIKE 20

- 1 THAT, DUST.
- 2 MEMBER JONES: THANK YOU.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU. NOW
- 4 CONTINUE WITH YOUR REPORT.
- 5 MS. RICE: I GUESS AS A VERY FINAL NOTE ON
- 6 THIS ASPECT OF MY REPORT, A NUMBER OF MEMBERS DID
- 7 ASK RECENTLY FOR AN UPDATE REGARDING THE BTI
- 8 COMPOST FACILITY IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY WHERE YOU
- 9 WERE AWARE IF THERE WERE ISSUES WITH THE
- 10 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AND THE BOUNDARIES AND OTHER
- 11 ISSUES.
- 12 WE DID LEARN YESTERDAY FROM THE LEA
- 13 THAT DUE TO THE ACTION OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY TO
- 14 RESCIND THE CUP FOR THE LARGER PROPERTY OWNED BY
- 15 MR. WEAVER, THE COMPOSTING FACILITY IS SCHEDULED
- 16 FOR CLOSURE VERY SOON. THE COMPANY IS, I GUESS,
- 17 NEGOTIATING FOR AN EXTENSION ON THAT CLOSURE DATE
- 18 SO THAT THEY CAN PROPERLY PROCESS THE MATERIAL ON
- 19 SITE AND NOT, I PRESUME, BE IN A POSITION OF
- 20 LEAVING A STOCKPILE. SO WE'RE LOOKING AT SOMETIME
- 21 OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS UNLESS THEIR CUP ISSUES
- 22 ARE RESOLVED, I ASSUME.
- 23 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I CERTAINLY

FEEL

- 24 THAT THIS REPORT IS SERVING ITS PURPOSE. IT KEEPS,
- 25 I THINK, THE SCRUTINY OF THE BOARD ON THIS 21

2 GREAT HAVING INTERACTION WITH THE LEA COMMUNITY

SUBJECT. I THINK WE'RE SEEING PROGRESS. IT'S

- 3 LIKE WE JUST HAD, AND IT'S VERY GOOD.
- 4 MS. RICE: WE WILL SEEK TO INCLUDE THE
- 5 AMOUNT OR TONNAGE INFORMATION AS YOU SUGGEST IN
- 6 SUBSEQUENT REPORTS, SO YOU CAN GET A FEEL FOR THE
- 7 AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT'S BEING STOCKPILED.
- 8 AND THEN LASTLY AND VERY BRIEFLY,
- 9 SOME INFORMATION REGARDING REGULATORY PACKAGES THAT
- 10 ARE ONGOING AT THIS TIME. AS YOU KNOW, WE'RE
- 11 WORKING ON THE WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMITTING AND
- 12 TIER HAULER REGULATIONS AT THIS TIME AND HAVE DONE,
- 13 I GUESS, TWO WORKSHOPS TO DATE, ONE IN SACRAMENTO
- 14 AND ONE IN VISALIA. ONE IS GOING ON TODAY IN
- 15 DIAMOND BAR AS WELL, AND WE'VE SCHEDULED AN
- 16 ADDITIONAL WORKSHOP HERE IN SACRAMENTO ON THE 19TH
- 17 OF DECEMBER IN RESPONSE TO CONCERNS THAT THE
- 18 EARLIER SACRAMENTO WORKSHOP MAY NOT HAVE BEEN
- 19 ADEQUATELY NOTICED, SO WE'VE SCHEDULED A

SUBSEQUENT

- 20 WORKSHOP AND HAVE DONE EXTENSIVE MAILING ON THAT.
- 21 REGARDING THE RELATED MONOFILL
- 22 REGULATIONS, WE ARE WORKING ON AN INITIAL DRAFT

AND	
23	HOPE TO BEGIN PUBLIC DISCUSSIONS WITH OUTSIDE
24	PARTIES VERY SOON REGARDING A CONCEPTUAL DRAFT
FOR	
25	THOSE REGULATIONS. THE HOPE WOULD BE THAT AT
SOME	2.2

- 1 POINT THESE PACKAGES COULD WORK IN TANDEM, THE
- 2 PERMITTING, HAULER, AND MONOFILL REGULATIONS.
- 3 SINCE THEY ALL CERTAINLY RELATE TO ONE ANOTHER,
- 4 WE'D LIKE TO COMBINE THEM AT SOME POINT SHORTLY
- 5 DOWN THE ROAD.
- 6 THE CONSTRUCTION, DEMOLITION, AND
- 7 INERT MATERIAL REGULATIONS, AS YOU KNOW, WE'VE BEEN
- 8 WORKING TO FORM A WORK GROUP OF EXTERNAL PARTIES TO
- 9 BEGIN LOOKING AT THE REGULATIONS AFTER YOU ACTED ON
- 10 THE AUTHORITY ITEM LAST MONTH. WE'RE HOPING TO
- 11 PULL A WORK GROUP MEETING TOGETHER IN EARLY JANUARY
- 12 ON THOSE REGULATIONS.
- AS I MENTIONED, THE PERMANENT
- 14 CHIPPING AND GRINDING REGULATIONS ARE AT THE OFFICE
- 15 OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, AND WE HOPE FOR THEIR
- 16 APPROVAL BY JANUARY 12TH WHEN THEIR 30 DAYS IS UP.
- 17 THE TRANSFER PROCESSING OPERATIONS
- AND FACILITIES REGULATIONS, WE HOPE TO NOTICE THE
- 19 45-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD PURSUANT TO YOUR
- 20 APPROVAL LAST MONTH OR THE MONTH BEFORE ON JANUARY
- 21 23D. SO THAT WOULD BEGIN THE COMMENT PERIOD, AND
- THEN WE WOULD BE BACK TO COMMITTEE FOR THE PUBLIC
- HEARING WHEN THAT 45 DAYS WAS UP.
- 24 AND THEN LASTLY ON THE ORGANICS

25 RULEMAKING, WE'RE IN THE PROCESS OF FORMING A WORK 23

GROUP AND WOULD FOLLOW A SIMILAR PATH AS WITH THE 1 2 C&D REGULATIONS IN TERMS OF HOLDING MEETINGS WITH 3 INTERESTED PARTIES THROUGHOUT THE STATE EARLY IN 1998. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT. 4 5 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: ON THE TIRE MONOFILL б REGULATIONS, IT'S OUR INTENT TO GO TO FULL 7 REGULATIONS AND NOT DO AN INTERIM STEP OF EMERGENCY 8 REGULATIONS ON THAT? 9 MS. RICE: I BELIEVE THAT WAS OUR PLAN, YES, TO DO PERMANENT REGULATIONS. 10 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. QUESTIONS? OKAY. 11 12 NOW WE'RE READY TO MOVE TO THE AGENDA. ITEM 2 IS A CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM ALTHOUGH 13 I UNDERSTAND THAT THE LEA IS HERE AND WISHES TO 14 SPEAK ON THIS ONE. SO WE'LL GO AHEAD WITH THE 15 REPORT ON THIS, AND THIS IS THE CONSIDERATION OF 16 Α REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE EEL 17 18 RIVER RESOURCE RECOVERY AND TRANSFER STATION IN 19 HUMBOLDT COUNTY. 20 MS. RICE: I GATHER THE SUGGESTION WAS TO

KEEP IT ON CONSENT. THE LEA JUST WISHED TO MAKE

21

Α

22	COMMENT TO THE COMMITTEE.	SO WE DID NOT HAVE A
23	STAFF PRESENTATION.	
24	CHAIRMAN FRAZEE:	OKAY. LET'S HEAR FROM
25	KEVIN METCALFE.	

1 MR. METCALFE: HELLO AND GOOD MORNING. I'M KEVIN METCALFE, HUMBOLDT COUNTY DIVISION OF 2 3 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH. I'VE BEEN WITH THE COUNTY FOR ABOUT 12 YEARS. I'M AN REHS. I'VE BEEN THE 4 5 LEA SINCE MARCH 1995. 6 ABOUT THE TIME THAT I BECAME THE LEA, 7 EEL RIVER DISPOSAL AND RESOURCE RECOVERY OBTAINED A 8 PERMIT FOR A SMALL VOLUME TRANSFER STATION. IT WAS A DROP BOX FOR SELF-HAUL, WHICH WAS ADDED TO AN 10 EXISTING GARBAGE FRANCHISE COLLECTION BUSINESS AND 11 RECYCLING CENTER. 12 OVER THOSE FEW YEARS TILL NOW, WE'VE SEEN EEL RIVER DISPOSAL RESOURCE RECOVERY ADD A 13 14 METAL SALVAGING YARD, A WASTE DIVERSION FOR 15 COGENERATION. WE'VE SEEN THEM ADD GREEN WASTE AND 16 FOOD WASTE DIVERSION TO VERMICOMPOSTING. WE'VE

17 ALSO SEEN THEM ADD OIL RECYCLING.

AND I'D JUST LIKE TO STRESS THAT

THIS	
19	FACILITY IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE OF A FACILITY
THAT	
20	MEETS THE CUSTOMERS' NEEDS AND WILL MEET THE
21	DIVERSION GOALS AND DISPOSAL NEEDS OF THE
COMMUN	ITY
22	IN HUMBOLDT COUNTY'S GEOGRAPHIC REGION IN AN
AREA	
23	SUCH AS OURS. AND SO I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT FOR
OUR	
24	MISSION, THE PERMITTING AGENCY AND THE BOARD,
IS TO	
25	ESTABLISH A ROAD MAP FOR COMPLIANCE WITH STATE 25

- 1 MINIMUM STANDARDS WHILE MINIMIZING PERMITTING
- 2 REQUIREMENTS FOR FACILITIES SUCH AS THIS. AND
- 3 THUS, WE'VE CRAFTED THIS PROPOSED PERMIT WE BROUGHT
- 4 BEFORE YOUR BOARD, AND WE HOPE THAT YOU DECIDE TO
- 5 CONCUR IN THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT.
- I'M HERE FOR ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY
- 7 HAVE. THERE'S ALSO REPRESENTATIVES FOR EEL RIVER
- 8 DISPOSAL HERE, AND THERE'S ALSO A REPRESENTATIVE
- 9 FOR THE CITY OF FORTUNA, GERALD KINDSFATHER.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: QUESTIONS?
- 11 MEMBER JONES: I JUST HAD TWO THAT I HAD
- 12 ASKED IN MY BRIEFING, AND I HADN'T GOTTEN AN ANSWER
- 13 BACK. CONDITION 17 OF -- CONDITION 17 REFERS TO
- 14 THE RSI. IS THAT THE PLAN TO BUILD THE NEW
- 15 FACILITY, BECAUSE IT WASN'T CLEAR IN WHAT WE HAD?
- 16 MR. METCALFE: I HAVE A COPY OF THE
- 17 PERMIT. LET ME LOOK AT 17. THE FIGURE IS THE
- 18 LAYOUT OF THE PROPOSED FACILITY, WHICH INCLUDES A
- 19 SMALL VOLUME -- OR A TRANSFER BUILDING FOR THE
- TRANSFER OF WASTE.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: SO I GUESS MY QUESTION IS
- DOES THE TONNAGE GO UP WHEN THAT CONDITION IS MET,
- OR DOES IT GO UP TODAY?
- MR. METCALFE: THERE WILL BE AN INTERIM

25

PERIOD BETWEEN WHEN THEY WILL GO TO BUILDING THE

- 1 BUILDING. THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO DO WHAT THEY WANT
- 2 TO ACCOMPLISH WITH THE BUILDING, TRANSFER FROM
- 3 ROUTE COLLECTION VEHICLES, UNTIL THE BUILDING IS
- 4 BUILT. SO THE CURRENT LEVEL OF OPERATION WILL STAY
- 5 THE SAME AT THE TIPPING RAMP.
- 6 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. THAT'S WHAT I WANTED
- 7 TO KNOW IS THE TRANSFER VEHICLES.
- 8 AND THEN MY OTHER QUESTION WAS I
- 9 THINK THERE WAS A -- I'M NOT SURE IT WAS ON A TIRE
- 10 PERMIT. DO WE HAVE -- IT SAYS THEY CAN TAKE 500
- 11 TIRES. THEY'RE GOING INTO TRAILERS?
- MR. METCALFE: THEY HAVE A PLAN FOR
- MANAGING ON SITE, AND THEY HAVE A LIMIT OF 500.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: OUR CUTOFF ON MINOR TIRE
- 15 FACILITY PERMITS ARE WHAT, 500?
- MS. RICE: RIGHT. BUT I DON'T BELIEVE WE
- 17 REQUIRE A TIRE PERMIT AT A LANDFILL THAT'S UNDER
- 18 PERMIT TO MY RECOLLECTION. SOLID WASTE FACILITY.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: THERE WERE JUST QUESTIONS I
- 20 ASKED IN MY BRIEFING THAT I DIDN'T GET ANSWERS TO.
- 21 OKAY. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT.
- 22 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I'LL MOVE
- 23 RESOLUTION 97-544 ON EEL RIVER DISPOSAL.

24	MEMBER JO	ONES:	I'LL	SECOND	IT.	
25	CHAIRMAN	FRAZEE	: WE	HAVE A	MOTION A	AND

```
1
      SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-544. IF
 2
      THE SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
 3
               THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
 4
               MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
 5
              THE SECRETARY: JONES.
             MEMBER JONES: AYE.
 6
 7
              THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
 8
               CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS CARRIED,
      AND THIS WILL, WITHOUT OBJECTION, GO ON THE CONSENT
 9
10
      CALENDAR AT THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING. THANK YOU.
                    NOW, ITEM 3 IS CONSIDERATION OF A NEW
11
12
      SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR SHEEP CREEK
      TRANSFER STATION IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.
13
14
               MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
15
      MEMBERS. TADESE GEBRE-HAWARIAT WITH THE PERMITTING
      AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION STAFF WILL MAKE THE
16
17
      PRESENTATION ASSISTED BY PAT GALLAGHER. I WOULD
18
      SUGGEST, IF IT MEETS WITH THE COMMITTEE'S APPROVAL,
      THAT WE TAKE ITEMS 3, 4, AND 6 TOGETHER SINCE
19
      THEY'RE THE THREE SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY ITEMS --
20
21
               CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: SURE.
22
              MS. RICE: -- WHILE WE HAVE THE LEA
```

23

PRESENT.

24	MR.	GEBRE-	HAWARIAT	: GOOD	MORNING	. THE
25 PROPO	SED PER	MIT IS	TO ALLOW	THE OP	ERATION	OF A NEW

- 1 LARGE VOLUME TRANSFER STATION. THE OWNER AND
- 2 OPERATOR OF THE SHEEP CREEK TRANSFER STATION IS THE
- 3 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY WASTE SYSTEM DIVISION. UNDER
- 4 CONTRACT, THE DAY-TO-DAY OPERATION IS CARRIED OUT
- 5 BY NORCAL SAN BERNARDINO, INC.
- AT THE TIME THIS ITEM WAS PREPARED,
- 7 STAFF REVIEW OF THE PERMIT APPLICATION PACKAGE HAD
- 8 NOT BEEN COMPLETED; AND, THUS, THE COMMITTEE ITEM
- 9 DID NOT INCLUDE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
- 10 PROPOSED PERMIT.
- NOW THE LEA AND BOARD STAFF HAVE
- 12 DETERMINED THAT ALL THOSE REQUIRED FINDINGS HAVE
- BEEN MADE. ON NOVEMBER 19, 1997, THE BOARD
- 14 APPROVED THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN OR
- 15 CIWMP FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO; AND,
- 16 THEREFORE, AS FAR AS THE CWIMP IS CONCERNED, THE
- 17 COUNTY IS NOW IN THE COMMENT PERIOD.
- THE PROPOSED ESTABLISHMENT OF THE
- 19 TRANSFER STATION IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CRITERIA
- 20 CONTAINED IN THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION
- 21 50001. CEOA HAS BEEN COMPLIED WITH. THE PROPOSED
- 22 DESIGN FOR THE TRANSFER STATION AS DESCRIBED IN THE
- 23 REPORT OF STATION INFORMATION DATED OCTOBER 1997
- 24 WOULD ALLOW FOR A FACILITY OPERATION CONSISTENT

WITH STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS.

1	STAFF REVIEWED THE PROPOSED PERMIT
2	AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AND FOUND THEM TO BE
3	ACCEPTABLE FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD.
4	IN CONCLUSION, STAFF RECOMMEND THAT
5	THE BOARD ADOPT SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT
6	DECISION NO. 97-540, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF
7	SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT NO. 36-AA-0382. THE
8	LEA IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, AS IS MR.
9	LARRY SWEETSER FOR THE OPERATOR. THIS CONCLUDES
10	STAFF PRESENTATION.
11	CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD?
12	MS. GALLAGHER: NO.
13	MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MOVE
14	THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-540.
15	MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
16	CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
17	SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-540. IF
18	THE SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT ONE,
19	PLEASE.
20	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
21	MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
22	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
23	MEMBER JONES: AYE.
24	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.

25

CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS CARRIED.

- 1 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND THAT ONE FOR
- 2 CONSENT.
- 3 AND THEN ITEM 4, THE CONSIDERATION OF
- 4 A NEW SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR TRAILS END
- 5 TRANSFER STATION IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.
- 6 MR. GEBRE-HAWARIAT: THIS PROPOSED PERMIT
- 7 IS ALSO TO ALLOW THE OPERATION OF A NEW LARGE
- 8 VOLUME TRANSFER STATION. THE LEA AND BOARD STAFF
- 9 HAVE DETERMINED THAT ALL THE REQUIRED FINDINGS HAVE
- 10 BEEN MADE. STAFF REVIEWED THE PROPOSED PERMIT AND
- 11 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AND HAVE FOUND THEM TO BE
- 12 ACCEPTABLE FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD.
- 13 AND IN CONCLUSION, STAFF RECOMMEND
- 14 THAT THE BOARD ADOPT SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT
- DECISION NO. 97-541, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF
- 16 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT NO. 36-AA-0377. THIS
- 17 CONCLUDES STAFF PRESENTATION.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THE LEA.
- 19 MR. SLOWIK: MATT SLOWIK, SAN BERNARDINO
- 20 COUNTY LEA. NOTHING FURTHER TO ADD. I'D JUST LIKE
- 21 TO HIGHLIGHT FOR YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS IS A
- 22 PART OF THE IMPLEMENTATION FOR SAN BERNARDINO
- 23 COUNTY TO CONSOLIDATE OUR LANDFILLS AND TO FURTHER
- 24 PROVIDE OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE RURAL AREAS OF THE

25 COUNTY TO DISPOSE OF WASTE AT THE TRANSFER STATION.

- 1 ULTIMATELY IT WILL BE DISPOSED OF AT THE LANDFILLS.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 3 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MOVE ON
- 4 RESOLUTION 97-541.
- 5 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 6 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE
- 7 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-541. SECRETARY WILL CALL
- 8 THE ROLL ON THAT ONE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 10 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 12 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 14 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS CARRIED;
- 15 AND WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND TO THE
- 16 CONSENT CALENDAR ON THAT ITEM.
- 17 NOW WE'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM 6. THIS IS
- 18 THE CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SOLID WASTE FACILITY
- 19 PERMIT FOR NEWBERRY SPRINGS TRANSFER STATION IN SAN
- 20 BERNARDINO COUNTY.
- 21 MR. GEBRE-HAWARIAT: THIS AS WELL IS A NEW
- 22 LARGE VOLUME TRANSFER STATION OUT IN THE DESERT.
- THE LEA AND THE BOARD STAFF HAVE DETERMINED THAT
- 24 ALL THE REQUIRED FINDINGS HAVE BEEN MADE. STAFF

25 HAVE REVIEWED THE PROPOSED PERMIT AND SUPPORTING

- 1 DOCUMENTATION AND HAVE FOUND THEM TO BE ACCEPTABLE
- 2 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD.
- 3 AND IN CONCLUSION, THE STAFF
- 4 RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD ADOPT SOLID WASTE FACILITY
- 5 PERMIT DECISION NO. 97-543, CONCURRING WITH THE
- 6 ISSUANCE OF SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT NO.
- 7 36-AA-0371. THIS CONCLUDES STAFF PRESENTATION.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: ANY STATEMENT ON THIS?
- 9 MR. SLOWIK: NOTHING FURTHER TO ADD.
- 10 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MAKE A
- 11 MOTION THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-543.
- 12 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
- 14 SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-543. IF
- 15 THE SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT ONE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 17 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 20 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS
- 22 CARRIED. WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND TO THE
- 23 CONSENT CALENDAR ON THIS ITEM.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WANT TO

25 COMMEND SAN BERNARDINO. I THINK, FOR THE PEOPLE IN 33

- 1 THE AUDIENCE THAT THINK WE WERE JUST RUSHING
- 2 THROUGH THOSE PERMITS, THIS HAS BEEN A THOUGHT-OUT
- 3 CONSOLIDATION OF PUTTING TRANSFER STATIONS AND
- 4 CLOSING LANDFILLS. AND I THINK ALL OF THE BOARD
- 5 MEMBERS HAVE BEEN VERY AWARE OF THIS PROCESS, AND I
- 6 DIDN'T WANT ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE THINKING WE'RE
- 7 JUST SLAM DUNKING THESE THINGS. BUT IT TOOK A LOT
- 8 OF WORK, AND WHAT YOU GAVE US WAS EASY TO VOTE ON.
- 9 AND CONGRATULATIONS TO SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.
- 10 MR. SLOWIK: THANK YOU. IN THIS CALENDAR
- 11 YEAR I'VE PROCESSED APPROXIMATELY 11 REVISIONS OR
- 12 MODIFICATIONS ALONE. WE HAVE SEVEN MEMBERS OF OUR
- 13 LEA, OTHERS BEING JUST AS BUSY, FOR THIS CURRENT
- 14 CALENDAR YEAR. SO QUITE A LOT OF WORK, AND WE'VE
- 15 BEEN ABLE TO WORK WITH THE STATE STAFF AND GET
- 16 THESE PERMITS ISSUED.
- 17 THE LANDFILLS CONSOLIDATED, SOME OF
- 18 THEM CLOSED, AND THE OTHER TRANSFER STATIONS ARE ON
- 19 THEIR WAY. THANK YOU.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 21 NOW WE'RE READY TO MOVE BACK TO
- 22 AGENDA ITEM 5. THIS IS THE CONSIDERATION OF A
- 23 REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE
- 24 OROVILLE TRANSFER STATION IN BUTTE COUNTY.

MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND

- MEMBERS. CHRISTINE MCCRACKEN WILL MAKE THE STAFF 2 PRESENTATION WITH CHARLES BIRD WITH THE LOCAL 3 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY ALSO PRESENT.
- MS. MCCRACKEN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN 4 FRAZEE AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THIS ITEM CONSIDERS 5
- A REVISED PERMIT FOR THE OROVILLE SOLID WASTE 6
- 7 TRANSFER STATION IN BUTTE COUNTY. THE OWNER AND
- 8 OPERATOR IS OROVILLE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL
- 9 INCORPORATED.

- 10 THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS FOR AN
- INCREASE IN TONNAGE FROM 120 TONS PER DAY TO 975 11
- 12 TONS PER DAY, AND TO EXPAND THE HOURS OF OPERATIONS
- FROM 6 A.M. THROUGH 8 P.M. TO A 24-HOUR-PER-DAY 13
- 14 OPERATION.
- 15 WHEN THIS ITEM WENT TO PRINT, THE
- 16 FINDINGS WERE STILL TO BE DETERMINED. SINCE THEN
- ALL FINDINGS HAVE BEEN MADE. STAFF REVIEWED THE 17
- 18 PROPOSED PERMIT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AND
- FOUND THAT IT MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS AND IS 19
- ACCEPTABLE FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE BOARD. 20
- 21 BOARD STAFF FOUND THE REVISED PERMIT
- 22 CONFORMS WITH PRC SECTION 50000(A)(1) AND
- 23 50000.5(A)(B). THE FACILITY IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH

- 24 STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS, AND CEQA HAS ALSO BEEN
- 25 COMPLIED WITH.

IN CONCLUSION, STAFF RECOMMENDS THE 1 2 BOARD ADOPT SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT DECISION NO. 97-542, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF A REVISED 3 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT NO. 04-AA-0008. 4 CHARLIE BIRD, THE LEA FOR BUTTE COUNTY, AND LARRY 5 б SWEETSER, REPRESENTING THE OPERATOR, ARE PRESENT IF 7 YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. THIS CONCLUDES STAFF'S 8 PRESENTATION. 9 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MR. BIRD, DO YOU HAVE 10 ANY COMMENTS? 11 MR. BIRD: THE ONLY COMMENT I CAN MAKE IS 12 AT THE PRESENT TIME -- THIS FACILITY WAS PERMITTED ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO, LITTLE OVER THREE YEARS AGO. 13 AT THE PRESENT TIME IT'S VERY MUCH UNDERUTILIZED, 14 AND WE FEEL THIS WILL BE A STRONG COMPONENT IN 15 HELPING US MEET THE RECYCLING MANDATES IN 939. SO 16 17 WE'RE VERY MUCH IN FAVOR OF THIS. MEMBER RELIS: MY ONLY OBSERVATION ON THIS 18 19 IS THAT IT LOOKS LIKE YOU ARE ANTICIPATING A BIG 20 GROWTH IN THE OROVILLE AREA. DO YOU KNOW SOMETHING THAT NO ONE ELSE KNOWS? 21 22 MR. BIRD: I DON'T KNOW WHERE ALL THIS GARBAGE IS GOING TO COME FROM. I KNOW WHERE SOME 23

OF IT IS GOING TO COME FROM. THIS COMPANY ALSO

OWNS A HAULER COMPANY IN CHICO, AND I THINK THEY 36

- 1 PLAN TO START PROCESSING CHICO'S -- THE WASTE THAT
- 2 THEY COLLECT IN CHICO, WHICH WILL BE A BIG HELP TO
- 3 US TOO.
- 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THE 24-HOUR OPERATION IS
- 5 INTERESTING. THAT APPARENTLY IS NOT A PROBLEM WITH
- 6 THE CUP.
- 7 MR. BIRD: NO. CITY OF OROVILLE JUST
- 8 WAVED IT ON THROUGH, SAID WE'RE NOT INTERESTED IN
- 9 IT. WE HAVE ROUTES TO BRING TRUCKS IN AT NIGHT
- 10 THAT GO THROUGH A SOUTHERN PART OF INDUSTRIAL TOWN,
- 11 SO WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE USING THE MAIN THOROUGH-
- 12 FARES THROUGH TOWN. SO IT SHOULD WORK OUT IF IT
- 13 EVER COMES TO THE POINT WHERE THEY'RE ACTUALLY
- 14 RUNNING IT 24 HOURS A DAY.
- 15 MEMBER JONES: THIS FACILITY, MR.
- 16 CHAIRMAN, IS IN AN INDUSTRIAL AREA NEXT TO A LUMBER
- 17 MILL, LIKE NEXT TO OTHER THINGS THAT ARE -- THERE'S
- 18 NO HOMES OR ANYTHING WITHIN A MILE, I DON'T THINK,
- 19 MILE AND A HALF, BUT THIS HAS BEEN IN THE WORKS
- 20 FOR, I KNOW, FOR --
- 21 MEMBER RELIS: I REMEMBER VISITING THIS
- 22 SITE WHEN WE HAD A BOARD MEETING IN OROVILLE MUST
- BE FIVE YEARS AT LEAST AGO.

24		MR.	BIR	D:	THEY	BUILT	A	NEW	FACI	LITY	SINCE
25	THEN.	THAT	WAS	THE	OLD 37	FACILI'	ΤY	. Y	EAH,	THE	

- 1 CLOSEST NEIGHBOR IS THE DOG POUND, WHICH IS ABOUT A
- 2 MILE AWAY.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. DO WE HAVE A
- 4 RESOLUTION FOR THIS ONE?
- 5 MS. MCCRACKEN: AT THE TIME THIS WAS
- 6 WRITTEN, THE FINDINGS WEREN'T MADE, BUT FOR THE
- 7 BOARD ITEM THERE IS A RESOLUTION 542.
- 8 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE
- 9 TO MOVE CONCURRENCE WITH RESOLUTION NO. 97-542.
- 10 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
- 12 SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-542. IF
- 13 THE SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 17 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 18 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS
- 20 CARRIED. AND WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND
- 21 CONSENT ON THAT.
- 22 AND NOW WE'RE READY TO GO TO AGENDA
- 23 ITEM 8, THE CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE
- 24 FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE ARVIN SANITARY LANDFILL IN

25 KERN COUNTY.

1

23

2 MEMBERS. LLOYD DILLON WILL MAKE THE STAFF 3 PRESENTATION ASSISTED BY BILL O'RULLIAN WITH THE 4 LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. 5 MR. DILLON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN FRAZEE AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M LLOYD DILLON WITH THE BOARD'S 6 7 PERMITTING AND INSPECTIONS BRANCH IN THE PERMITTING AND 8 ENFORCEMENT DIVISION. 9 MEMBER JONES: HOW'D THAT HAPPEN? 10 MR. DILLON: IT'S A PRESEASON TRADE. I, ALONG WITH BILL O'RULLIAN OF THE 11 KERN COUNTY LEA OFFICE, WILL BE DISCUSSING AGENDA 12 13 ITEM 8. WE RECEIVED A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY 14 PERMIT FOR THE ARVIN LANDFILL REQUESTING TO 15 INCREASE THE DAILY TONNAGE FROM 310 TONS PER DAY TO 800 PEAK TONS PER DAY, CHANGE THE HOURS OF 16 OPERATION, EXTEND THE EXPECTED CLOSURE DATE FROM 17 1993 TO 2008, ALLOW THE USE OF ALTERNATIVE DAILY 18 COVER AT THIS SITE, UPDATE AND NAME CHANGE FOR THE 19 OPERATOR, AND INCLUDE THE ADDITION TO THE FACILITY 20 21 OF A GATEHOUSE, GROUNDWATER AND GAS MONITORING SYSTEMS, AND A LOADCHECKING PROGRAM, AND ALSO TO 22

INCLUDE THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE ENVIROTECH

MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND

24	FACILITY	CURRENTLY	ON	SITE	BUT	TOM	OPERAT	ING.
25		STAFF	AN]	D THE	LEA	HAV	E MADE	RECENT
			3	9				

- 1 INSPECTIONS AT THE SITE, AND THE LEA HAS ISSUED A
- 2 NOTICE AND ORDER TO THE FACILITY FOR GAS MIGRATION.
- 3 AND I'LL LET BILL DISCUSS THAT. AT THIS TIME I'D
- 4 LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO BILL TO MAKE HIS PRESENTA-
- 5 TION.
- 6 MR. O'RULLIAN: THANK YOU. THIS SITE IS
- 7 SOMEWHAT COMPLICATED IN THAT WE DO HAVE A TRANSFER
- 8 PROCESSING STATION THAT IS NOW DEFUNCT AT THE SITE,
- 9 THE ENVIROTECH FACILITY. THE LEA ISSUED ORDERS
- 10 LAST THANKSGIVING, A YEAR AGO THANKSGIVING, TO
- 11 CEASE AND DESIST OPERATIONS, AND THAT WAS CLOSELY
- 12 FOLLOWED THEN BY THE AIR BOARD AND THE WATER BOARD
- 13 ALSO ISSUING EXTENSIVE ORDERS ON THAT COMPANY. AND
- 14 IT HAS SINCE GONE -- IT HAS BECOME BANKRUPT, AND
- 15 THE COUNTY IS PROCEEDING THROUGH LEGAL COUNSEL ON
- 16 THE OUTCOME OF THAT PROBLEM.
- 17 SECOND PROBLEM HAS BEEN THE DISCOVERY
- OF OFF-SITE GAS MIGRATION ON ONE -- IN ONE AREA
- 19 THAT ACTUALLY IS IMPACTING A HOME THAT IS LOCATED
- 20 WITHIN A FEW HUNDRED YARDS OF THE LANDFILL. THE
- 21 COUNTY IS PRESENTLY BUYING THAT PROPERTY AND
- 22 ACQUIRING THAT AS A BUFFER ZONE. THERE IS PHASE II
- 23 SITE ASSESSMENT BEING UNDERTAKEN AT THIS TIME.
- 24 CH2MHILL AND GAS CONTROL INC. ARE THE TWO

25 CONSULTANTS THAT ARE WORKING ON THAT PROJECT. AND $40\,$

1 THE COUNTY WILL BE OR THE WASTE MANAGEMENT 2 DEPARTMENT WILL BE MEETING WITH THEM ON FRIDAY TO 3 DISCUSS PRELIMINARY DESIGNS FOR THE GAS CONTROL 4 SYSTEM. KERN COUNTY HAS PUT ASIDE MONIES TO 5 CONSTRUCT THAT GAS CONTROL SYSTEM IN 1998. WE COME TO YOU WITH THIS PERMIT 6 PACKAGE ALSO WITH A NOTICE AND ORDER THAT ADDRESSES 7 8 THE GAS PROBLEM. I'M SORRY THAT YOU MAY NOT HAVE THAT BEFORE YOU AT THIS TIME. WE HAVE -- IN 9 10 ISSUING THE NOTICE AND ORDER, WE HAVE RECEIVED COMMENTS FROM THE STAFF OF THE BOARD, AND WE HAVE 11 12 INCORPORATED THOSE NOW INTO OUR REVISED NOTICE AND 13 ORDER. AND WE HAVE UNDERGONE A REORGANIZATION. OUR CHIEF IS NOW THE INTERIM DIRECTOR OF ANIMAL 14 15 CONTROL, AND WE HAD DIFFICULTY FINDING HIM OUT AT THE DOG POUND TO SIGN THE NOTICE AND ORDER. SO WE 16 17 WILL HAVE THAT RECTIFIED TODAY FOR YOU. 18 AND OUR -- I CONTACTED OUR DIRECTOR THIS MORNING, AND HE HAS SIGNED THE NOTICE AND 19 20 ORDER, AND THAT IS BEING SENT FORTHWITH TO THE 21 BOARD.

CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MR. RELIS WANTS TO ASK

22

23

QUESTIONS.

MEMBER RELIS: WELL, I'VE BEEN LISTENING.

25 AND I THINK I'M PROBABLY, JUST FOR THE SAKE OF
41

- 1 WANTING TO SEE WHAT THAT IS, GOING TO ABSTAIN ON
- THIS VOTE BECAUSE I DON'T FEEL WE HAVE THE
- 3 INFORMATION BEFORE US ON THAT PARTICULAR ISSUE. I
- 4 DON'T KNOW WHAT THE LEVELS ARE.
- 5 MS. RICE: MY UNDERSTANDING FROM STAFF
- 6 THIS MORNING IS THAT WE JUST VERY RECENTLY RECEIVED
- 7 THE ORDER TO REVIEW AND HAVE NOT COMPLETED OUR
- 8 REVIEW OF THE ORDER. GOT IT LATE YESTERDAY. SO WE
- 9 WERE GOING TO BE WITHHOLDING RECOMMENDATION TODAY
- 10 AND HAVE THAT AT THE BOARD MEETING FOR YOU TO GIVE
- 11 US AMPLE TIME TO REVIEW THE ORDER, GET YOU COPIES
- OF IT, AND MAKE SURE YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THE
- 13 CONTENTS OF THAT ORDER BECAUSE WE ALSO HAVE NOT HAD
- AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW IT YET. IS THAT ACCURATE,
- 15 LLOYD?
- MR. DILLON: YES.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IS THIS AN UNLINED
- 18 FACILITY?
- MR. O'RULLIAN: IT IS.
- 20 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IT'S CONTINUING AS AN
- 21 UNLINED FACILITY?
- MR. O'RULLIAN: IT IS. THE PRESENT
- OPERATION IS ON AN UNLINED AREA; AND SHOULD THERE
- 24 BE EXPANSION, IT WILL BE, OF COURSE, LINED -- IT

25 WILL BE CONSTRUCTED ON LINED AREA.

- 1 MEMBER RELIS: ON THAT POINT, SO IF WE GO
- 2 FROM 310 TO 800, THE ADDITIONAL VOLUME WOULD BE ON
- 3 THE UNLINED VERTICAL EXPANSION?
- 4 MR. O'RULLIAN: ACTUALLY IT WILL BE ON THE
- 5 UNLINED AREA. THERE'S STILL SITE CAPACITY LEFT FOR
- 6 THAT AREA.
- 7 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AND WHAT'S THE NATURE OF
- 8 THE ADC GREEN WASTE?
- 9 MR. O'RULLIAN: THE -- PRESENTLY THE TYPE
- 10 OF ADC BEING USED IS TARPING AND GREEN WASTE.
- 11 MAINLY RELYING ON TARPS.
- 12 MEMBER RELIS: DO YOU HAVE A GAS PROBLEM
- 13 THERE? I MEAN IT'S UP TO YOU, BUT IS THAT
- 14 ADVISABLE TO EXACERBATE --
- MR. O'RULLIAN: AS FAR AS USING TARPS
- 16 VERSUS SOIL?
- 17 MEMBER RELIS: THE GREEN WASTE PORTION
- 18 THAT'S GOING TO GENERATE A FAIR AMOUNT OF GAS.
- MR. O'RULLIAN: THE AMOUNT OF GREEN WASTE
- 20 THAT IS BEING USED FOR ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER IS
- 21 REALLY MINIMAL. IT'S ON A VERY INFREQUENT BASIS.
- ONE POINT ABOUT THE GAS THAT WE HAVE
- 23 LEARNED IS THAT IT IS VERY HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON THE
- 24 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE, AND THIS SUGGESTS THAT THE

25 LEVEL OF GAS PRODUCTION AT THE SITE WOULD BE LESS 43

1 SIGNIFICANT -- IS LESS SIGNIFICANT THAN, SAY, A SITE THAT DOES NOT FLUCTUATE SO GREATLY WITH 2 3 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE. IF THERE WAS A LOT OF GAS GENERATION GOING ON AT THE SITE, YOU WOULDN'T SEE 4 IT REDUCE DOWN TO, SAY, ZERO IN THE EARLY MORNING 5 AND THEN FLUCTUATE UP DURING MIDDAY AND THEN REDUCE 6 AGAIN AT THE NIGHT -- AT NIGHTFALL. 7 8 THIS IS WHAT IS BEING SUGGESTED TO US BY THE CONSULTANTS. BUT IT IS SIGNIFICANT IN THE 9 10 VIEW OF THE LEA IN THAT IT IS GOING OFF SITE, AND THERE IS A RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY THAT'S AFFECTED, 11 12 AND THE LEA IS MONITORING THAT HOME ON A WEEKLY 13 BASIS. THERE HAVE BEEN MULTILEVEL PROBES THAT HAVE BEEN INSTALLED NEAR THE HOME AND AT THE BOUNDARY OF 14 15 THE LANDFILL CLOSEST TO THE HOME, AND THOSE ARE BEING MONITORED FREQUENTLY. AND WE HAVE 16 RECOMMENDED THAT FOR HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERNS 17 THAT THOSE PEOPLE BE MOVED OFF THAT SITE, AND THAT 18 IS WHY THE COUNTY HAS PROCEEDED TO BUY THAT 19 20 PROPERTY. 21 MEMBER RELIS: SO IT WOULD BE A BUFFER? 22 MR. O'RULLIAN: IT WOULD BE A BUFFER.

MEMBER JONES: HOW FAR ARE THE NEXT --

23

24	WHAT'S	THE	NEXT	RESIDENC	CE, H	WOF	FAR	AWA	AY?	
25		MR	. O'R	ULLIAN:	THE	RE A	AREN	'Τ	ANY	OTHER

RESIDENCES THAT ARE WITHIN -- I WISH I COULD GIVE 1 YOU AN EXACT NUMBER, BUT IT'S WELL OVER 500 YARDS 2 3 BEFORE -- IT'S IN A RURAL AGRICULTURAL AREA. THE ONLY OTHER RECEPTOR THERE WOULD BE THE COMMUNITY 4 RECYCLING FACILITY, WHICH IS LOCATED ACROSS THE 5 б HIGHWAY FROM THIS SITE, AND IT IS PRETTY MUCH 7 WHAT --8 OH, ONE OTHER THING OF INTEREST TO 9 YOU WOULD BE THAT WHEN THESE BUFFER AREAS ARE PURCHASED, THERE'RE ACTUALLY TWO PROPERTIES 10 11 INVOLVED, THE COUNTY INTENDS TO REOPEN CEQA ON THOSE PROPERTIES AND INCLUDE THEM IN THE CEOA 12 DOCUMENT FOR THIS SITE RATHER THAN LEAVE THEM OUT 13 THERE INDEPENDENTLY. 14 MEMBER JONES: JUST ANOTHER QUESTION. 15 CH2MHILL AND GAS CONTROL ARE GOING TO PUT A PLAN 16 17 TOGETHER FOR YOU AS TO HOW TO MITIGATE THIS ISSUE? MR. O'RULLIAN: THAT'S CORRECT. AND THE 18 19 COUNTY HAS CONDUCTED A PHASE I PRELIMINARY 20 ASSESSMENT, AND NOW THEY ARE ON THE PHASE II ASSESSMENT, WHICH INVOLVES BORING AND SUBSURFACE 21 22 INVESTIGATION. AND CH2MHILL AND GAS CONTROL ARE THE TWO CONSULTANTS THAT WILL BE PROVIDING THE 23

DESIGNS FOR THE CONTROL SYSTEM.

24

25

MEMBER JONES: I THINK I HEARD YOU SAY

45

- 1 EARLIER THE DOLLARS HAVE ALL BEEN ALLOCATED FOR THE
- 2 CONSTRUCTION OR FOR THE STUDY?
- 3 MR. O'RULLIAN: THIS IS FOR THE
- 4 CONSTRUCTION. NANCY EWERT, WHO IS THE ENGINEER
- 5 LEADING THIS PROJECT, ADVISED US THAT THEIR
- 6 PROJECT -- THEY INTENDED TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT
- 7 AND CONSTRUCT IT BEFORE THE END OF 1998.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY.
- 9 MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, IN LIGHT OF THE
- 10 DISCUSSION AND STAFF RECOMMENDATION, WE DON'T HAVE
- 11 A RESOLUTION, BUT I WOULD MOVE THAT WE FORWARD THIS
- 12 TO THE BOARD WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. WE HAVE A MOTION
- 14 AND SECOND TO FORWARD THIS ITEM, THE ARVIN SANITARY
- 15 LANDFILL, KERN COUNTY, TO THE FULL BOARD WITHOUT
- 16 RECOMMENDATION. SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON
- 17 THAT, PLEASE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 19 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 23 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS
- 24 CARRIED. SO ORDERED.

25

MEMBER JONES: YOU'LL GET US COPIES OF

46

- 1 THAT AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. GREAT.
- 2 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. NOW WE ARE READY
- 3 TO MOVE TO ITEM 9, THE CONSIDERATION OF A NEW
- 4 STANDARDIZED COMPOST PERMIT FOR THE SUN-LAND GARDEN
- 5 PRODUCTS COMPOST FACILITY IN MONTEREY COUNTY.
- 6 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 7 MEMBERS. THIS ITEM WILL BE PRESENTED BY VIRGINIA
- 8 ROSALES, ASSISTED, I THINK, BY STAN CHOW WITH THE
- 9 LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY. HI, STAN.
- 10 MS. ROSALES: GOOD MORNING. ITEM NO. 9 IS
- 11 A NEW STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING PERMIT FOR THE
- 12 SUN-LAND GARDEN PRODUCTS COMPOSTING FACILITY IN
- 13 MONTEREY COUNTY. THE OWNER IS THE MONTEREY
- 14 REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. THE OPERATOR
- 15 IS SUN-LAND GARDEN PRODUCTS.
- 16 THE WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAS A
- 17 125-ACRE PARCEL THAT IT LEASES TO VARIOUS PARTIES.
- 18 EIGHTEEN OF THE 125 ACRES ARE LEASED TO SUN-LAND
- 19 FOR THE COMPOSTING OPERATION, WHICH IS COMPLETELY
- 20 WITHIN AND SURROUNDED BY THE MONTEREY REGIONAL
- 21 ENVIRONMENTAL PARK. THE PARK IS A MULTIFACET
- 22 FACILITY, WHICH INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO A
- 23 LANDFILL, A MRF, A WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY,
- 24 AND ANOTHER COMPOSTING FACILITY.

SUN-LAND HAS BEEN OPERATING AT THE

47

25

1 SITE SINCE 1986. THE FEEDSTOCKS INCLUDE MUSHROOM 2 SUBSTRATE, GREEN WASTE, AND AGRICULTURAL MATERIALS. 3 THE FACILITY IS NOT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC. SUN-LAND 4 RECEIVES THE GREEN WASTE FROM THE MRF AND OWNS AND 5 OPERATES A FLEET OF TRANSFER VEHICLES WHICH IS USED TO TRANSPORT THE MUSHROOM SUBSTRATE AND THE 6 AGRICULTURAL MATERIALS FROM THE SOURCE TO THE 7 8 FACILITY. 9 THERE ARE SOME OUTSTANDING ISSUES 10 WITH THE PROPOSED PERMIT. THEY ARE AS FOLLOWS: THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY INCLUDED IN 11 12 THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS FOR THE ENTIRE 125 ACRES INSTEAD OF JUST THE 18 ACRES THAT SUN-LAND LEASES 13 AND UTILIZES FOR THE COMPOSTING OPERATION. 14 15 PROPOSED PERMIT THAT WAS RECEIVED ON NOVEMBER 17TH AND IS INCLUDED IN YOUR AGENDA ITEM INDICATES THE DESIGN 16 CAPACITY TO BE 26,000 CUBIC YARDS. HOWEVER, ON DECEMBER 17 18 2D, STAFF RECEIVED AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED PERMIT THAT INCREASED THE DESIGN CAPACITY TO 74,259 CUBIC YARDS, WHICH 19 20 IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH CEOA.

THE PROPOSED FACILITY CAPACITY WAS

STIPULATED IN THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION AS BEING

LIMITED DUE TO TOPOGRAPHY AND SITE CONSTRAINTS.

21

22

23

24	STAFF	AT	THE	OFFIC	CE (OF	LOCAL	AS	SISTA	NCE	ARE
25	CURREN	TLY	WOR	RKING	ТО	DE 48		IE '	WHETH	IER '	THE

CONFORMANCE FINDING IS ACCEPTABLE.

1

2 THERE IS AN INCONSISTENCY BETWEEN THE 3 FACILITY DESCRIPTION IN THE NDFE AND THE SUPPLE-MENTAL REPORT OF COMPOSTING INFORMATION SITE 4 5 CAPACITY CALCULATIONS. THE FACILITY WAS INSPECTED ON NOVEMBER 14TH BY BOARD STAFF IN CONJUNCTION WITH 6 THE LEA. NO VIOLATIONS OF STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS 7 8 WERE NOTED. HOWEVER, THE VIOLATION OF PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE WAS NOTED FOR THE FACILITY OPERATING WITHOUT A PERMIT. THE ISSUANCE OF THIS PERMIT 10 WOULD CORRECT THAT VIOLATION. 11 12 STAFF IS CURRENTLY WORKING WITH THE LEA AND THE OPERATOR'S CONSULTANT TO RESOLVE THESE 13 ISSUES. WE HAVE REQUESTED ANY NEW SUPPLEMENTAL 14 15 AND/OR CLARIFYING INFORMATION BE SUBMITTED TO US BY THE CLOSE OF BUSINESS FRIDAY, DECEMBER 12TH IN 16 ORDER TO ALLOW FOR REVIEW OF THE INFORMATION AND TO 17 DETERMINE WHETHER THE PROPOSED PERMIT MEETS THE 18 BOARD STANDARD. WITHOUT THE SUPPLEMENTAL 19 INFORMATION, STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD 20 21 WOULD BE TO OBJECT TO THE PERMIT. AS OF LATE YESTERDAY THERE WAS A PROPOSAL TO DOWNSCALE THE 22 23 PROJECT TO BE CONSISTENT WITH CEQA.

24				IN S	UMMARY,	THIS	STIL	ıL LI	EAVES	THE
25	ISSUES	OF	THE	LEGA:	L DESCR	IPTION	1 OF	THE	FACII	LITY
					49					

- 1 BOUNDARIES, THE CONFORMANCE FINDINGS, AND THEN WE
- 2 WOULD NEED TO HAVE UPDATES TO THE RCSI AND ANOTHER
- 3 REVISED PROPOSED PERMIT.
- 4 IN CONCLUSION, STAFF WANT TO MENTION
- 5 THAT THE 30 DAYS THE BOARD HAS TO ACT ON THIS
- 6 PROPOSED PERMIT ENDS THE DAY OF THE BOARD MEETING,
- 7 DECEMBER 17TH. THE OPERATOR'S CONSULTANT, MATT
- 8 COTTON, IS AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU
- 9 MAY HAVE ON THE PROJECT.
- 10 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: DOES THE CURRENT CEQA
- 11 DOCUMENT ADDRESS THE 26,000 YARDS, OR IS IT SOME
- 12 NUMBER HIGHER THAN THAT?
- 13 MS. ROSALES: MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE CEQA
- 14 DOCUMENT IS THAT IT WOULD ADDRESS THE 26,000 CUBIC
- 15 YARDS.
- 16 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: DOESN'T ALLOW FOR
- 17 ANYTHING ABOVE THAT.
- MS. ROSALES: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING.
- 19 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: LEA WISH TO MAKE ANY
- 20 STATEMENT?
- MR. CHOW: NOT AT THIS TIME.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MATT COTTON,
- 23 REPRESENTING SUN-LAND GARDEN PRODUCTS.
- 24 MR. COTTON: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN FRAZEE,

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, STAFF. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING 50

- ON THIS. AS YOU LOOK THROUGH VIRGINIA'S PACKET,
- THERE ARE A LOT OF INCONSISTENCIES WITH THIS PERMIT
- 3 FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. I JUST WANTED TO GO ON
- 4 RECORD TO CLARIFY SOME OF THOSE AND EXPLAIN SOME OF
- 5 THEM. IT DOESN'T LOOK VERY GOOD THE WAY THIS HAS
- 6 BEEN PRESENTED.
- 7 NOW, THIS IS A COMPANY THAT'S BEEN
- 8 OPERATING, AS VIRGINIA STATED, SINCE 1986. THE
- 9 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT BROUGHT THEM OUT
- 10 THERE IN 1986 TO HELP THEM WITH THE MUSHROOM WASTE
- 11 PROBLEM. THEY STARTED COMPOSTING THAT IN 1986, WAY
- 12 BEFORE AB 939. SUBSEQUENT TO THAT, THEY'VE BEEN
- 13 ADDING FEEDSTOCKS, INCLUDING GREEN WASTE, MONTEREY
- 14 REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT MRF AND
- 15 OPERATING WITHOUT VIOLATION AND CERTAINLY IN
- 16 CONFORMANCE WITH THE MINIMUM STANDARDS, BUT ALSO
- 17 OPERATING AN EXCEPTIONAL COMPOSTING FACILITY UP
- 18 UNTIL THIS POINT.
- 19 PART OF THE DIFFICULTY WITH THIS
- 20 FACILITY IS ITS UNIQUENESS VIS-A-VIS THE OWNER-
- 21 OPERATOR. THE DISTRICT OWNS THE 500-ACRE LANDFILL
- THERE IN MARINA, AND SUN-LAND OPERATES THE FACILITY
- 23 BY LEASING THE LAND. HOWEVER, SUN-LAND IS GETTING
- 24 THE PERMIT. I COULD ONLY COME UP WITH ONE OTHER

25 EXAMPLE OF THAT RELATIONSHIP IN PERMITTING IN 51

1 CALIFORNIA. THE STANISLAUS WASTE-TO-ENERGY 2 FACILITY IS SIMILAR. SO THERE WERE SOME -- IT WAS 3 A LAND USE PERMIT ISSUE. WE HAD TO EXTEND THE LAND 4 USE PERMIT. NOW THE DISTRICT HAS GOTTEN THIS UMBRELLA PERMIT FOR THE 125 ACRES WITHIN THE 5 LANDFILL FOR EXACTLY THIS TYPE OF OPERATION, 6 7 RECYCLING AND COMPOSTING AND OTHER DIVERSION 8 ACTIVITIES. AT THIS POINT WE APPRECIATE THE 9 10 QUESTIONS STAFF HAVE. WE WANT TO WORK WITH STAFF, AS WE'VE BEEN DOING, DILIGENTLY TO ANSWER THESE 11 12 QUESTIONS, AND WE WOULD ASK THAT IT BE CONTINUED TO THE FULL BOARD MEETING. WE'RE SOMEWHAT CONFIDENT 13 THAT WE CAN RESOLVE THESE ISSUES BY NEXT -- WELL, 14 15 BY THE END OF BUSINESS FRIDAY. WE DON'T THINK THEY REALLY ARE SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES SO MUCH AS TRYING TO 16 BRING IN A PERMIT THAT'S BEEN BEING DEVELOPED SINCE 17 18 1994 INTO CONFORMANCE WITH A LOT OF DIFFERENT 19 THINGS. 20 JUST ONE LAST CLARIFICATION, THE 21 NUMBER IN CEQA IS ACTUALLY 32,400 TONS PER YEAR, SO THEY'RE SLIGHTLY LARGER. THEY CURRENTLY OPERATE AT 22 23 ABOUT 50,000 CUBIC YARDS OR ABOUT 25,000 TONS PER

- YEAR. SO THEY'RE OPERATING UNDER, APPARENTLY THEY
- 25 HAVE BEEN, THAT'S THE MOST THEY'VE EVER DONE, AND \$52>

- 1 THAT'S ABOUT WHERE THEY STAND, ALTHOUGH PERHAPS WE
- 2 WANT TO INCREASE THE PERMIT CAPACITY LATER ON, AND
- 3 WE'LL COME BACK AND AMEND CEQA TO DO THAT. AGAIN,
- 4 THE CEOA PROCESS WAS DONE FOR THIS ENTIRE BLANKET
- 5 LAND USE PERMIT AND WAS NOT SPECIFIC TO THE
- 6 COMPOSTING, WHICH IS WHERE THE DIFFICULTY ARISES.
- 7 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 8 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: I WAS GOING TO SAY YOU
- 9 ARE INDICATING THAT THE CURRENT CEQA DOCUMENT WOULD
- 10 SUFFICE AS LONG AS THE PERMIT WOULD BE ADJUSTED
- 11 DOWN TO THAT 32,000?
- MR. COTTON: CORRECT.
- 13 MEMBER JONES: DID I HEAR THAT THE
- 14 FACILITY WASN'T LISTED IN THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
- 15 ELEMENT OR THAT IT WASN'T IN COM- --
- 16 MS. ROSALES: WHAT'S LISTED IN THE NDFE IS
- 17 NOT CONSISTENT, THE DESCRIPTION IS NOT CONSISTENT
- 18 WITH WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED.
- 19 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. BUT IT WAS LISTED.
- 20 MR. COTTON: IT'S BEEN INCLUDED. THERE'S
- 21 BEEN A PRESENTATION TO THE INTEGRATED WASTE
- 22 MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE, WHICH APPROVED THE FACILITY
- 23 BACK IN '96. IT WAS IN THE NDFE IN '94 AT 50,000
- 24 TONS. SO IT'S A FACILITY THAT PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT.

25 IT'S NOT A SURPRISE TO ANYONE. THEY'VE BEEN THERE. 53

- 1 AGAIN, IT'S SURROUNDED BY THIS LANDFILL. THE
- 2 IMPACTS ARE REALLY VERY MINIMAL, AND IT'S SOMETHING
- 3 THAT'S BEEN IN THE COMMUNITY FOR SOME TIME, OVER 12
- 4 YEARS NOW.
- 5 MEMBER JONES: I'VE HEARD OF IT. I
- 6 UNDERSTOOD IT. I JUST DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY IT
- 7 DIDN'T COMPLY WITH THE NDFE. I JUST DIDN'T WANT TO
- 8 GO DOWN A ROAD THAT WE'VE ALREADY PLAYED IN A
- 9 COUPLE OF TIMES.
- 10 MY OTHER QUESTION IS IF THESE ISSUES
- 11 CAN'T BE RESOLVED BETWEEN NOW AND THE 12TH, AS THE
- 12 OPERATOR, ARE YOU PREPARED TO WAIVE THE TIME LINES
- 13 SO WE CAN GET TO YOU RATHER THAN HAVE A -- I MEAN
- 14 RECOMMENDATION RIGHT NOW IS NOT TO CONCUR. I DON'T
- 15 THINK THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT TO HEAR. IF THE 12TH
- 16 DOESN'T GET IT DONE, DO YOU WANT TO WAIVE THAT SO
- 17 WE DON'T GET INTO NEGOTIATING IN A BOARD MEETING?
- MR. COTTON: WE WOULD FAR AND AWAY PREFER
- 19 TO GET EVERYTHING DONE BY FRIDAY, WHICH IS WHAT WE
- 20 INTEND TO DO; BUT IF WE DO NOT, WE'RE MORE THAN
- 21 HAPPY TO CONTINUE THIS TILL JANUARY, FEBRUARY. AS
- 22 I SAID, WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON IT FOR A YEAR AND A
- 23 HALF.
- 24 MEMBER JONES: I UNDERSTAND. I JUST

25 DIDN'T WANT TO GET INTO NEGOTIATION IN THE MIDDLE 54

- 1 OF A BOARD MEETING, WHICH HAS HAPPENED BEFORE.
- 2 MR. COTTON: WE HAVE NO INTEREST IN THAT.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. MOTION TO
- 4 CONTINUE THIS ITEM TO THE FULL BOARD WITHOUT
- 5 RECOMMENDATION.
- 6 MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND THAT.
- 7 SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT, PLEASE.
- 8 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 9 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 13 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS CARRIED.
- 14 NOW, ITEM 10, THE CONSIDERATION OF A
- 15 NEW SITE FOR SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL
- 16 SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM.
- 17 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 18 MEMBERS. MARGE ROUCH WILL PRESENT THIS ITEM AND I
- 19 BELIEVE THE NEXT ITEM AS WELL.
- MS. ROUCH: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN FRAZEE
- 21 AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. THE 2136 CLEANUP PROGRAM IS
- 22 BRINGING ITEM 10 FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION TO GIVE AN
- 23 LEA GRANT TO DEL NORTE COUNTY FOR THE CLEANUP OF
- 24 EIGHT ILLEGAL DISPOSAL SITES AND DEVELOPMENT OF AN

25

INFORMATIONAL BROCHURE ON SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FOR 55

- 1 MAILING TO COUNTY RESIDENTS. THE TOTAL COST WILL
- 2 NOT EXCEED \$178,000. CLEANUP WILL BE PERFORMED BY
- 3 THE CALIFORNIA CONSERVATION CORPS AND LOCAL
- 4 COMMUNITY SERVICE GROUPS.
- 5 IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, MR. KEVIN
- 6 HENDRICKS OF DEL NORTE COUNTY LEA OFFICE IS IN THE
- 7 AUDIENCE, AND I THINK HE WOULD LIKE TO SAY
- 8 SOMETHING TO THE COMMITTEE. AND I HAVE ASKED HIM
- 9 TO SHOW US THE LOCATION OF THE DISPOSAL SITE IN
- 10 RELATION TO THE LANDFILL AND THE CITY, AS MR. JONES
- 11 HAS REQUESTED.
- 12 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WHILE HE'S COMING
- forward, something I missed in the briefing was
- 14 YOUR STATEMENT THAT THE CLEANUP WILL BE ACCOM-
- 15 PLISHED BY THE CONSERVATION CORPS, SO RATHER THAN
- 16 ONE OF THE BOARD CONTRACTORS.
- MS. ROUCH: THAT'S CORRECT. THIS IS A
- 18 GRANT TO THE COUNTY. THEY WILL HANDLE THE WHOLE
- 19 PROJECT THEMSELVES, BUT THEY WILL BE DEALING WITH
- THE CONSERVATION CORPS.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. I MISSED THAT.
- 22 MEMBER JONES: QUESTION BEFORE THAT
- 23 PRESENTATION THEN. WHO IS GOING TO -- WE HAD

24	TALKED	ABOUT	COST	RECOVERY	FOR	SOME	OF	THE	PRIVATE

25 PROPERTY OWNERS. WOULD THAT BE TAKEN ON BY US OR 56

BY THE COUNTY IF WE'RE GRANTING -- IF WE'RE GIVING

1

20

21

22

23

2 THE MONEY TO THE COUNTY? 3 MS. ROUCH: I BELIEVE BY US. I THINK 4 KATHRYN CAN ANSWER THAT BETTER. MS. TOBIAS: I DON'T KNOW. 5 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: JUST IT SEEMS TO ME IF 6 THIS IS A GRANT TO THE COUNTY, IT SHOULD BE THEIR 7 8 RESPONSIBILITY. AS I HAVE OFTEN SAID, THEY HAVE EASIER METHODS OF GAINING COST RECOVERY THAN WE 10 HAVE. IT MAY BE APPROPRIATE TO PURSUE THAT. MS. TOBIAS: THERE MAY BE SOMETHING -- AND 11 12 WE CAN CERTAINLY LOOK INTO IT BETWEEN NOW AND THE BOARD MEETING. IT MAY BE SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO 13 ASK THAT THE COUNTY ACTUALLY DO OR AGREE TO 14 15 CONSIDER IN RECEIVING THE GRANT MONIES SINCE THIS IS KIND OF A STRAIGHT ACROSS --16 MS. ROUCH: I DO WANT TO POINT OUT ONE 17 THING THOUGH. THE BULK OF THE PROJECTS ARE GOING 18 TO BE DONE ON COUNTY AND STATE LAND OR THE BULK OF 19

THE MONEY IS GOING TO BE SPENT ON COUNTY AND STATE

THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHETHER WE DECIDE TO DO

COST RECOVERY OR NOT. BUT I WOULD ASSUME WE WOULD

LANDS. SO THE REMAINDER IS ON PRIVATE LAND, BUT

- NOT PURSUE COST RECOVERY AGAINST THE COUNTY OR THE
- 25 STATE.

1

MEMBER JONES: I WAS LOOKING AT THE

2 PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS PERSONALLY, I MEAN AS ONE 3 MEMBER. 4 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: YES. 5 MR. HENDRICK: MY NAME IS KEVIN HENDRICK. б I'M THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEL NORTE SOLID WASTE 7 MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY. WE'RE A REGIONAL AGENCY 8 RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL SOLID WASTE AND RECYCLING IN 9 DEL NORTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA. ONE OF THE TASKS THAT HAVE BEEN 10 ASSIGNED TO US IS CLEANING UP SOME OF THE ILLEGAL 11 12 DUMPING SPOTS IN THE COUNTY. FIRST WANT TO THANK THE STAFF FOR THEIR HELP, THEIR ASSISTANCE, AND 13 THEIR SUPPORT ON THIS PROJECT. 14 I MUST EMPHASIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF 15 THIS GRANT FOR US TO ACHIEVE OUR OBJECTIVES IN 16 CREATING A CLEAN SLATE FOR THE COUNTY TO START 17 CLEANING UP THESE ILLEGAL DUMPS THAT HAVE DEVELOPED 18 19 OVER THE YEARS. OUR LOCAL LANDFILL WILL BE CLOSING 20 IN THE NEXT THREE TO FOUR YEARS, SO WE HAVE AN OBJECTIVE TO CONSOLIDATE ALL OF THE WASTE INTO THE 21 22 PROPER LOCATIONS BEFORE THAT LANDFILL CLOSES. AND IN THIS PROCESS, WE WILL BE EMPHASIZING THE 23 24 BENEFICIAL RECOVERY OF MATERIALS SUCH AS THE METALS

THAT ARE ON SOME OF THESE ILLEGAL DUMP SITES.

58

1	IN ADDITION TO FUNDING THE CLEANUP
2	EFFORT, WE'RE ALSO REQUESTING FUNDING TO PREPARE A
3	COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE FOR THE CITIZENS, THE
4	BUSINESSES, AND THE RESIDENTS TO IDENTIFY ALL THE
5	ALTERNATIVES, ALL OF THE OPTIONS FOR WASTE DISPOSAL
6	AND RECOVERY AND RECYCLING IN THE COUNTY.
7	AS OUR FINAL STEP, WHICH IS NOT
8	DIRECTLY RELATED TO THIS GRANT, BUT PROBABLY WILL
9	BE THE MOST CHALLENGING, IS THE ONGOING ENFORCEMENT
10	TO PREVENT THE ILLEGAL DUMPING. DEL NORTE COUNTY
11	HAS 70 PERCENT OF ITS PROPERTY OWNED BY STATE AND
12	FEDERAL AGENCIES, MOSTLY FOREST LANDS. THERE ARE A
13	LOT OF REMOTE AREAS, AND IT HAS BEEN A CHALLENGE IN
14	THE FIVE YEARS THAT I'VE BEEN IN THE COUNTY TO STOP
15	ILLEGAL DUMPING. BUT THIS WILL BE A FOCUS OF OUR
16	EFFORTS AS WE CLEAN UP THESE SITES.
17	I'LL BE LOOKING FORWARD TO WORKING
18	WITH THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD STAFF TO
19	COME UP WITH IDEAS AND STRATEGIES TO HELP US
20	ACCOMPLISH THIS. I WOULD ESPECIALLY APPRECIATE ANY
21	THOUGHTS THE COMMITTEE HAD RELATIVE TO PREVENTING
22	ILLEGAL DUMPING, AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
23	QUESTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT HAVE ON THIS

PROJECT.

24 I WANTED TO NOTE WHEN MARGE

MADE THE

25 INTRODUCTION, THE NUMBER SHE SAID WAS THE --

WAS

59

- 1 DIFFERENT THAN THE NUMBER I HAVE ON MY GRANT
- 2 APPLICATION. I WAS WONDERING IF THERE WAS A
- 3 CHANGE. I HAVE 186,837.
- 4 MS. ROUCH: WE ROUNDED IT UP TO MAKE LIFE
- 5 EASIER. I SAID IT WAS A NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT. IS
- 6 THAT OKAY?
- 7 MR. HENDRICK: WE'LL DO OUR BEST TO KEEP
- 8 IT BELOW THAT. WHEREVER WE CAN SAVE COSTS BY --
- 9 FOR EXAMPLE, IN OUR PAST EXPERIENCE, WE'VE BEEN
- 10 VERY SUCCESSFUL IN RECOVERING THE METALS WHICH CAN
- 11 COME AT A VERY LOW COST COMPARED TO THE DISPOSAL OF
- 12 THOSE MATERIALS. OUR EXPERIENCE WITH THE KLAMATH
- 13 FLOOD CLEANUP HAS MADE US VERY EXPERIENCED IN
- 14 CLEANING UP DISASTERS.
- 15 I DID -- MARGE SAID THAT YOU HAD A
- 16 QUESTION ABOUT THE LOCATIONS. I DIDN'T ANTICIPATE
- 17 BRINGING A HIGHER QUALITY MAP, BUT THE LOCAL
- 18 NEWSPAPER PUBLISHES A MAP THAT -- I'M NOT SURE HOW
- 19 USEFUL IT WILL BE -- BUT I CAN SHOW -- I GUESS I
- 20 HAVE TO GET MY HAND UNDERNEATH HERE TO SHOW THESE
- 21 SPOTS. THE CLEANUP LOCATIONS, THIS IS SITE NO. 3.
- 22 SITE NO. 2 IS FISH AND GAME OWNED PROPERTY NEAR THE
- 23 ELK CREEK BASIN. SOME OF THE OTHER PRIVATE

- PROPERTIES HERE, HERE, HERE, AND HERE. THE
 LANDFILL IS LOCATED HERE. THE OTHER TWO LOCATIONS
 - 60

- 1 ARE NOT ON THIS MAP. ONE IS 15 MILES TO THE NORTH,
- THE PACIFIC SHORES SUBDIVISION, WHICH IS A 1500
- 3 PARCEL SUBDIVISION THAT NEVER HAS BEEN FULLY
- 4 DEVELOPED BECAUSE OF HIGH WATER AND OTHER
- 5 DEVELOPMENT ISSUES. SO THERE ARE VERY HANDY ROADS
- 6 FOR PEOPLE TO GET BACK INTO THIS REMOTE AREA.
- 7 THE OTHER IS IN THE KLAMATH GLEN
- 8 AREA, WHICH IS ABOUT 20 MILES SOUTH OF CRESCENT
- 9 CITY NEAR THE KLAMATH RIVER. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE
- 10 QUESTION WAS RELATED TO.
- 11 MEMBER JONES: I JUST WANTED TO KNOW HOW
- 12 CLOSE IT WAS TO THE LANDFILL BECAUSE A COUPLE OF
- 13 THE PILES LOOKED VERY REMINISCENT OF SOMETHING I
- 14 HAD SEEN UP THERE BEFORE. SOME OF THE PILES ON
- 15 PRIVATE PROPERTY ARE PRETTY SMALL. AND SMALL IS A
- 16 RELATIVE TERM, BUT I MEAN, YOU KNOW, I JUST -- IT'S
- 17 IMPORTANT, I THINK, TO ME AND TO THIS BOARD THAT IF
- 18 WE ARE GOING TO DO COST RECOVERY ON THE PRIVATE
- 19 PROPERTY OWNERS, YOU KNOW, IF WE'RE GOING TO GO
- 20 AFTER THOSE -- THE DOLLARS IT'S GOING TO TAKE TO
- 21 CLEAN UP THOSE MESSES.
- MR. HENDRICK: I SHOULD POINT OUT THAT THE
- 23 COUNTY HAS COMMITTED TO THAT PROCESS. THE COUNTY
- 24 HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAS PROVIDED NOTICES TO ABATE THE

25 PROPERTIES, AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO PROCESS THEM 61

1 THROUGH THE COUNTY ABATEMENT PROCESS SO THAT I WOULD ANTICIPATE THAT ONCE THE CLEANUP IS 2 3 ACCOMPLISHED AS THE COUNTY PROCEEDS ON IT, THE COUNTY WILL PUT A LIEN ON THE PROPERTIES AS A COST 4 RECOVERY MECHANISM THAT, AS YOU KNOW, DOESN'T PAY 5 OFF UNTIL THE PROPERTY IS SOLD. AND THAT MECHANISM 6 CAN AND WILL BE PURSUED ON THE PRIVATE PROPERTIES. 7 8 THE TWO PUBLIC PROPERTIES, ESSENTIALLY THE PACIFIC SHORES SUBDIVISION, THE 9 GARBAGE IS ON THE COUNTY OWNED OR NEAR THE COUNTY 10 OWNED RIGHTS-OF-WAY THROUGH THAT SUBDIVISION. AND 11 12 SIMILARLY IN THE KLAMATH AREA, IT'S A SIMILAR UNDEVELOPED COUNTY OWNED RIGHT-OF-WAY. AND THE 13 OTHER, THE FISH AND GAME PROPERTY ON ELK BASIN, IS 14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME. 15 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I DON'T KNOW 16 IF IT -- WE TALK ABOUT COST RECOVERY HERE AND WE 17 TALK ABOUT SENDING OUT A MESSAGE, AND YOU'RE GOING 18 TO DO A PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAM TO LET PEOPLE IN 19 DEL NORTE COUNTY KNOW ABOUT WAYS TO RECOVER 20 21 MATERIAL OR, YOU KNOW, HOW TO RECYCLE THAT MATERIAL, WHERE TO BRING IT FOR PROPER DISPOSAL. 22

I'M WONDERING IF A GOOD PART OF THE EDUCATION ISN'T

23

24	THE	IDEA	THAT	WE	ARE	GOING	ТО	DEN	MAND	COST	RECOVERY
25	ON '	THOSE	PRIVA	ATE	PROI	PERTY	OWNE	ERS	AND	WHAT	THE
						62					

1	LENGTHS ARE THAT THE COUNTY IS GOING TO GO TO.
2	I'D LIKE TO SUGGEST, AND I DON'T KNOW
3	HOW I MEAN WE'VE DONE IT WITH OTHER JURISDIC-
4	TIONS SOMETHING FROM DEL NORTE COUNTY, THE PLAN
5	THAT YOU JUST TALKED ABOUT THAT'S BEEN APPROVED BY
6	YOUR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT, IF THAT
7	BECOME PART OF THE PACKAGE FOR THE BOARD THING SO
8	WE CAN WE HAVE THEIR WE HAVE THE COUNTY SIGN
9	OFF THAT THIS IS WHAT, IN FACT, THEY'RE GOING TO
10	DO. AND I THINK THAT IS GOING TO HELP YOU WHEN YOU
11	GO BACK UP TO DEL NORTE COUNTY THAT, YOU KNOW, WE
12	GOT THE MONEY, BUT WE HAVE TO DO COST RECOVERY AND
13	HERE'S HOW IT IS. AND MAYBE SOME PEOPLE THAT THINK
14	THIS IS A FREE CLEANUP OF PROPERTY WILL UNDERSTAND
15	THAT IT MAY COME AT A PRICE OF THEIR PROPERTY.
16	MR. HENDRICK: I'M VERY SENSITIVE TO THAT
17	BECAUSE WE HAVE A SMALL BUDGET WITHIN THE SOLID
18	WASTE AUTHORITY WHERE WE CLEAN UP SOME OF THE
19	SMALLER DUMPS THAT WE FIND. AND IT'S ALWAYS,
20	ESPECIALLY FOR THE SMALLER ONES, A REAL TIGHT
21	BALANCE TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT'S WORTH GOING
22	THROUGH THE WHOLE PROCESS TO RECOVER THOSE COSTS

OR

WHETHER WE JUST WANT TO GO IN AND GET IT CLEANED

UP. THERE IS, IN FACT, ONE SMALL PROPERTY THAT'S

BEEN VIRTUALLY ABANDONED BY THE OWNER, IS NOW

UNDER

1	OWNERSHIP OF A NEW OWNER. IT'S ON THE WAY OUT TO
2	THE LANDFILL. IT'S BAD PR TO HAVE THAT KIND OF
3	THING HAPPENING, SO WE ARE GOING TO STEP IN AND
4	CLEAN IT UP AT OUR COST.
5	THE INFORMATION BOOKLETS CLEARLY WILL
6	INCLUDE INFORMATION ON THE FINES AND THE ENFORCE-
7	MENT MECHANISMS AS WELL AND TO INFORM PEOPLE THAT
8	THERE IS A PRICE FOR DOING IT THE WRONG WAY. AND
9	STRATEGICALLY WE WILL BE WORKING WITH THE SHERIFF'S
10	DEPARTMENT AND THE D.A.'S OFFICE TO ACHIEVE SOME
11	PROSECUTION, SOME VERY VISIBLE PROSECUTIONS AS PART
12	OF THIS PROCESS. WHEN THE LAND MASS IS SO SPARSELY
13	POPULATED AS OURS, IT IS AN ONGOING CHALLENGE TO BE
14	THERE IN THE PLACES THAT THEY ARE DOING IT. SO IT
15	HAS TO BE A COMBINATION OF LETTING THE PROPERTY
16	OWNERS KNOW THAT THEIR ACCUMULATION OF WASTE WILL
17	EVENTUALLY COST THEM MONEY ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.
18	AND AT THE SAME TIME, JUST FROM A
19	VERY PRACTICAL STANDPOINT, DOING EVERYTHING WE CAN
20	AT OUR LANDFILL TO MAKE IT AFFORDABLE FOR PEOPLE TO
21	COME, AND THAT'S THE MOST THE GREATEST CONCERN
22	FOR US IS WHEN OUR LANDFILL CLOSES, WE WILL HAVE NO

LOCAL LANDFILL. EVERYTHING WILL BE EXPORTED, AND

23

- OUR DISPOSAL RATES WILL LIKELY DOUBLE IN FOUR
- 25 YEARS. THAT'S -- LIKE I SAID, I DON'T HAVE ANY 64

- 1 REAL GOOD ANSWERS ON HOW TO PREVENT THIS TYPE OF
- 2 DUMPING, AND I HAVE STARTED TALKING TO YOUR STAFF
- 3 ABOUT IT, AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN COME UP WITH SOME
- 4 GOOD STRATEGIES TO ACCOMPLISH THAT.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU. WE
- 6 HAVE THE -- DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ADDITIONAL?
- 7 MS. ROUCH: I WANTED TO ADD THAT I
- 8 DIDN'T -- I ROUNDED UP THE COUNTY'S GRANT REQUEST
- 9 TO MAKE THE NUMBERS EASIER TO KEEP TRACK OF BECAUSE
- 10 WE ONLY PAY WHAT THEY INVOICE US FOR, FOR THE
- 11 ACTUAL WORK DONE. AND THEIR COST IS AN ESTIMATE,
- 12 SO I DIDN'T WANT YOU TO THINK I TOOK THEIR REQUEST
- 13 LIGHTLY AND MADE A CHANGE.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: ACTUALLY, MARGE, I THINK
- 15 WHAT YOU SAID WAS A 178,000 WHEN YOU FIRST MADE THE
- 16 THING, SO I THINK THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE WERE -- SO I
- 17 WAS GOING TO STRAIGHTEN IT OUT ANYWAY AT 187. SO I
- 18 THINK IT WAS JUST, YOU KNOW, FLIPPING THE NUMBERS.
- 19 NOT A BIG DEAL.
- 20 MEMBER RELIS: PREPARED TO MAKE A MOTION
- 21 THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-547. I'LL MOVE
- 22 CONCURRENCE.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: I WILL SECOND. I'D LIKE TO
- 24 ASK IF YOU WOULD INCLUDE IN YOUR MOTION THE FACT

THAT WE INCLUDE THAT WORK PLAN AS PART OF THIS SO 65

- 1 THAT IT BECOMES PART OF THE RECORD, THAT THEY'RE
- 2 GOING TO GO AFTER COST RECOVERY.
- 3 MS. RICE: DO YOU WANT THAT IN THE BOARD
- 4 ITEM FOR NEXT WEEK? OR PASS IT OUT? I BELIEVE THE
- 5 ITEMS GO TO PRINT AS WE SPEAK.
- 6 MEMBER JONES: AS AN ATTACHMENT OR
- 7 SOMETHING?
- 8 MS. RICE: WE MAY NEED TO PASS IT OUT. I
- 9 BELIEVE THE ITEMS GO TO PRINT AS WE SPEAK RIGHT
- 10 NOW. I KNOW PATTI WAS WORKING ON IT BY NOON TODAY,
- 11 I BELIEVE. IF WE CAN GET IT TO HER, WE WILL.
- 12 MEMBER JONES: IF IT GETS PASSED, I JUST
- 13 THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THAT MESSAGE GOES BACK TO
- 14 DEL NORTE COUNTY, THAT THEY INCLUDE IT IN THEIR
- 15 WORK PLAN ON RECOVERY.
- 16 MEMBER RELIS: MAKE THE WORK PLAN AS PART
- 17 OF THE MOTION FOR COST RECOVERY.
- 18 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. WE HAVE A MOTION
- AND SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-547 AS
- 20 AMENDED. SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT,
- 21 PLEASE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER

RELIS.

MEMBER RELIS: AYE.

THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER

JONES.

25 MEMBER JONES: AYE.

66

1

2 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS 3 CARRIED. IS THERE ANY PROBLEM WITH CONSENT ON THIS 4 ONE? 5 MEMBER JONES: NOT FOR ME. I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM JUST AS LONG AS WE GET IT AND THEY CAN SEND 6 7 THE MESSAGE OUT. 8 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. WE'LL RECOMMEND 9 CONSENT ON THIS ITEM. 10 NOW, AGENDA ITEM 11 IS THE 11 CONSIDERATION OF A CONTRACT CONCEPT FOR THE SOLID 12 WASTE DISPOSAL AND CODISPOSAL SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM. 13 MARGE. 14 MS. ROUCH: THE CONTRACT WILL BE FOR A NOT 15 TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$2,500,000. HOWEVER, ONLY \$695,822 WILL BE PLACED IN THE CONTRACT AT THIS 16 17 TIME SHOULD THE BOARD APPROVE THIS CONCEPT. FUTURE MONIES ARE AVAILABLE TO BE PLACED IN THIS 18 CONTRACT, STAFF WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD FOR 19 20 APPROVAL TO AUGMENT THE CONTRACT DOLLAR AMOUNT. 21 AT THIS TIME OUR GRANITE AND SUKUT 22 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS HAVE LITTLE MONEY REMAINING,

THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.

23	AND GUINN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY IS NEW TO THE
24	PROGRAM, AND, THEREFORE, THAT IS WHY WE ARE
25	REQUESTING BEING ABLE TO GO OUT TO BID FOR A NEW 67

- 1 CONTRACTOR.
- 2 STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL OF THIS
- 3 CONTRACT CONCEPT, AND I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
- 4 QUESTIONS.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: QUESTIONS?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: AS I UNDERSTOOD IT, THE
- 7 CONTRACT THAT'S GOING TO GO OUT IS FOR \$2.5
- 8 MILLION. WE KNOW IT'S FUNDED TO 6 WHATEVER, 695
- 9 RIGHT NOW, SO WE WON'T BE AUGMENTING A CONTRACT.
- 10 WE'LL BE ISSUING A CONTRACT FOR WHATEVER.
- MS. ROUCH: WE'D BE AUGMENTING THAT
- 12 695,000, BUT WE WOULD NOT EVER BE EXCEEDING THE
- 13 2,500,000.
- 14 MEMBER JONES: I MEAN AS FAR AS THE
- 15 CONTRACT BECAUSE WE CAN ONLY AUGMENT CONTRACTS BY
- 16 30 PERCENT. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S
- 17 SPELLED OUT, THAT IT'S TWO AND A HALF MILLION.
- MS. ROUCH: MAYBE THAT'S THE WRONG TERM.
- 19 MAYBE WE'D JUST BE PLACING MORE MONEY INTO THE
- 20 CONTRACT.
- 21 MEMBER JONES: WHATEVER WORKS FOR YOU.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY.
- 23 MEMBER JONES: I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
- OR ANYTHING. I MAKE A -- I MOVE RESOLUTION 97-548,

THAT WE PUT OUT A BID OR A PROPOSAL FOR \$2,500,000 68

- 1 IN CLEANUP.
- 2 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
- 4 SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-548.
- 5 SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT ONE, PLEASE.
- 6 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- 7 MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 8 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 9 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 11 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS CARRIED.
- 12 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND CONSENT ON THAT
- 13 ITEM.
- 14 NOW, ITEM 12, IS THAT -- THAT'S
- 15 PULLED, ISN'T IT?
- 16 ITEM 13 IS THE CONSIDERATION AND
- 17 REAPPROVAL OF A PORTION OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS
- 18 FOR ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER.
- MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 20 MEMBERS. SCOTT WALKER AND ELLIOT BLOCK WILL MAKE
- 21 THE PRESENTATION FOR THIS ITEM.
- 22 MR. BLOCK: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN FRAZEE
- 23 AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ELLIOT BLOCK FROM THE

LEGAL

OFFICE, AND SCOTT WALKER IS ALSO HELPING TO

PRESENT

THIS ITEM.

1	ON NOVEMBER 5TH, 1997, LAST MONTH,
2	THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW APPROVED MOST OF
3	THE ADC REGULATIONS, AND THOSE, IN FACT, HAVE NOW
4	BEEN PRINTED IN BARCLAY'S. WE ACTUALLY JUST GOT
5	THE OFFICIAL COPY YESTERDAY WITH THE EXCEPTION OF A
6	COUPLE OF SUBSECTIONS SPECIFICALLY RELATING TO
7	PREAPPROVED THICKNESSES AND SIMILAR PROVISIONS FOR
8	CERTAIN MATERIAL TYPES TO BE USED AS ADC.
9	IN REVIEWING OUR RULEMAKING FILE, THE
10	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW HAD SOME CONCERNS
11	ABOUT HOW MUCH INFORMATION THE BOARD HAD SEPARATELY
12	CULLED OUT OF ITS FILES REGARDING THOSE PARTICULAR
13	MATERIALS. THE RULEMAKING FILE ITSELF IDENTIFIED
14	THE DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS WHERE THESE MATERIALS
15	HAD BEEN USED AND DOWN TO A POINT OF IDENTIFYING
16	THE FACILITY FILES THEMSELVES. WE FELT COMFORTABLE
17	THAT THIS PROVIDED INFORMATION FOR COMMENTERS AND
18	THOSE REVIEWING THE FILES IF THEY HAD CONCERNS OR
19	WANTED TO REVIEW THOSE MATERIALS, THE MATERIAL WAS
20	READILY IDENTIFIABLE. HOWEVER, THE OFFICE OF
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, IN INTERPRETING THE
22	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT, HAS DETERMINED THAT
23	IN ORDER TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF MAKING THIS
24	INFORMATION AVAILABLE DURING THE RULEMAKING

25 PROCESS, WE SHOULD HAVE SEPARATELY COPIED OUT, 70

1	CULLED OUT FROM OUR FACILITY FILES COPIES OF THOSE
2	MATERIALS SPECIFIC TO THE THICKNESSES.
3	AND SO WHAT WE DID WAS WE WITHDREW
4	THOSE PARTICULAR SUBSECTIONS THAT WE'RE TALKING
5	ABOUT, AND THEY'RE IDENTIFIED ON PAGE 2 OF THE
6	AGENDA ITEM, WHICH IS PAGE 13-2 OF YOUR PACKET. WE
7	HAVE SEPARATELY CULLED THOSE OUT AND RENOTICED
8	THOSE PARTICULAR SUBSECTIONS FOR ANOTHER 15-DAY
9	COMMENT PERIOD. THERE WERE NO CHANGES IN THE
10	LANGUAGE TO THOSE SECTIONS OTHER THAN A COUPLE OF
11	CLARIFYING CHANGES THAT OAL HAD NOTED DURING THEIR
12	INITIAL REVIEW.
13	I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MENTION, THERE
14	WERE SOME OF THE PREAPPROVED THICKNESSES AND
15	PROVISIONS WERE APPROVED IN THE INITIAL APPROVAL
16	BECAUSE OF A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT SITUATION FROM SOME
17	OF THESE MATERIALS: TARP, BECAUSE THAT WASN'T
18	ACTUALLY A THICKNESS INVOLVED WITH TARPS; SLUDGE
19	AND COMPOST ADC WITH PREAPPROVED PROVISIONS WERE
20	ALSO APPROVED BECAUSE THOSE RELATED ACTUALLY TO A
21	STATUTORY LIMIT OF 25 PERCENT AS OPPOSED TO A
22	THICKNESS THAT WE CAME UP WITH IN REGULATIONS; AND
23	THEN THE GREEN WASTE ADC PREAPPROVAL THICKNESS WAS

24	ALSO APP	ROVED.	THAT	SIM	PLY I	FELL	INTO .	A D	IFFERENT
25	CATEGORY	MOSTLY	BECAU	JSE 71	THERI	E HAD	BEEN	SO	MUCH
				<i>,</i>					

DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION REGARDING THAT TYPE OF

1

2 ADC THAT THERE WAS, IN FACT, MORE INFORMATION IN 3 THE RULEMAKING FILE FOR THOSE MATERIALS. 4 THE 15-DAY COMMENT PERIOD ENDED 5 YESTERDAY, AND SCOTT WALKER CAN TALK ABOUT ANY 6 COMMENTS WE DID RECEIVE. 7 MR. WALKER: WE RECEIVED ONE COMMENT 8 LETTER AT THE CLOSE OF THE COMMENT PERIOD 9 YESTERDAY. AND IT WAS -- COPIES ARE PROVIDED IN THE DESK AT THE FRONT. IT WAS FROM MR. MIKE 10 HEERDINK, GENERAL MANAGER OF AMERICAN TIRE DISPOSAL 11 12 INCORPORATED IN COLTON, CALIFORNIA. AND HE WAS COMMENTING SPECIFICALLY ON THE PROPOSED STANDARD 13 FOR TIRE SHREDS AS ADC. AND HIS COMMENT AND MY 14 15 DISCUSSIONS WITH HIM INDICATED THAT HE DIDN'T HAVE 16 CONCERNS ABOUT THE STANDARD OR OBJECTIONS TO THE 17 STANDARD. 18 HE WANTED TO JUST CONVEY HIS EXPERIENCES AS AN OPERATOR WITH SHREDDED TIRES AT A 19 20 LANDFILL IN WHICH THEY DID SOME EXPERIMENTATION 21 DURING THE LANDFILLING TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT IN THE FUTURE THEY MIGHT WANT TO USE THIS AS ADC. AND HIS 22 23 CONCLUSIONS BASICALLY WERE THAT CERTAIN TYPES OF

24	EQUIPMENT	WERE	MUCH	BETTER,	AND	HE	FELT	THAT

25 CERTAIN SIZE RANGE, YOU NEEDED SOME CHIPS, SOME 72

- 1 CLEAN CHIPS OF SIZE RANGE IN AROUND 6-INCH, AND
 2 THAT WAS REALLY A GOOD ADC. ALSO MIXING WITH SOIL
- 3 HE FELT WAS GOOD AND HE PROVIDED SOME INFORMATION
- 4 ON THEIR EXPERIENCE.
- 5 THAT WAS THE ONLY COMMENT LETTER WE
- 6 RECEIVED. I WANTED TO ADD ALSO THAT I CALLED UP
- 7 AND TALKED WITH MR. RICK BEST OF CALIFORNIANS
- 8 AGAINST WASTE, WHO HAD BEEN INVOLVED EARLY STAGES
- 9 WITH THE ADC REGS. AND I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT WE
- 10 HAD A LOT OF THAT INFORMATION AVAILABLE FOR HIM OR
- 11 ANYBODY ELSE TO REVIEW. I ASKED HIM WHETHER HE HAD
- 12 THE COMMENT -- THE NOTICE FOR THE 15-DAY. HE SAID
- 13 YES. HE INDICATED HE WASN'T INTERESTED IN
- 14 REVIEWING THAT ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, AND THE
- 15 IMPRESSION I GOT WAS THAT HE WASN'T -- HE DIDN'T
- 16 FEEL THERE WAS NEW CONCERNS THAT HE NEEDED TO
- 17 EXPRESS AT THAT TIME. AND I HAVEN'T RECEIVED
- 18 ANYTHING FROM HIM. HIS MAIN CONCERN WAS WITH THE
- 19 GREEN WASTE ADC STANDARD. AND AS ELLIOT MENTIONED,
- 20 THAT HAS -- THAT WAS APPROVED BY OAL AND IS
- 21 EFFECTIVE NOW.
- 22 IN CONCLUSION, STAFF RECOMMEND
- 23 APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 97-550, REAPPROVING PORTIONS

24	OF '	THE	PROPO	SED	ADC	REGUI	ATIONS	AND	FOF	RWARI	ING	THE
25	PRO:	POSE	D REG	GULAT	CIONS	AND	RESOLUT	CION	TO	THE	FULL	1

- 1 BOARD FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION AND READOPTION AT THE
- 2 DECEMBER 19TH BOARD MEETING. THAT CONCLUDES STAFF
- 3 PRESENTATION. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, WE'D BE
- 4 HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IN THE CASE OF SHREDDED
- 6 TIRES, DO THESE REGULATIONS SPECIFY A SIZE OR A
- 7 THICKNESS?
- 8 MR. WALKER: THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS
- 9 SPECIFY A SIZE RANGE AT WHICH DEMONSTRATION
- 10 PROJECTS WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED. THE SIZE RANGE
- 11 WOULD BE 50 PERCENT LESS THAN 6-INCH AND A HUNDRED
- 12 PERCENT LESS THAN 12-INCH SHRED SIZE. THAT IS THE
- 13 PROPOSED PREAPPROVED SPECIFICATION, AND IT IS BASED
- 14 PRIMARILY ON THE CHICAGO GRADE LANDFILL DEMONSTRA-
- 15 TION PROJECT.
- 16 THE PROPOSED STANDARD WOULD NOT
- 17 PRECLUDE THE EVALUATION OF OTHER SHRED SIZE
- 18 SPECIFICATIONS, BUT ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE
- 19 STANDARD WOULD BE SUBJECT TO A SITE-SPECIFIC
- 20 DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.
- 21 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: IS THE USE OF SHREDDED
- 22 TIRES ONLY IN DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS?
- MR. WALKER: WITH THE PROPOSED -- RIGHT
- NOW THAT'S THE CASE. WITH THIS PROPOSED

25 REAPPROVAL, THEN WE WOULD HAVE A CATEGORY OF 74

1 SHREDDED TIRE ADC THAT WOULD NO LONGER REQUIRE A 2 SITE-SPECIFIC DEMONSTRATION PROJECT. 3 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WHEN I VISITED CHICAGO GRADE RECENTLY, I THINK IT WAS STILL SOMEWHAT 4 5 DEBATABLE AS TO WHICH SIZE WAS APPROPRIATE. AND THEY ARE TRYING BOTH VERY SMALL SHRED AND THEN THE 6 6-INCH ALSO. AND I DON'T THINK THERE WAS ANY 7 8 CONCLUSION ON WHICH WAS BEST. MR. WALKER: THEY ARE CONTINUING THE 9 DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, AND THEY ARE GOING TO BE 10 TESTING SOME ALTERNATIVE -- MY UNDERSTANDING RIGHT 11 12 NOW TESTING SOME ALTERNATIVE SIZE RANGES. BASED ON THE INFORMATION WE HAD AT THE TIME AND WE DISCUSSED 13 WITH THE CHICAGO GRADE LANDFILL, THAT THAT SPEC 14 15 THAT WE HAD WAS WORKING REALLY WELL, AND OUR REPORTS FROM THE LEA WERE CONFIRMING THAT. 16 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. WE HAVE MARK 17 18 MADDEN REPRESENTING ESRI, WHO WISHES TO SPEAK ON 19 THIS ITEM. 20 MR. MADDEN: MORNING, GENTLEMEN. I AM 21 MARK MADDEN, REPRESENTING THE CHAIRMAN OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE FOR ESRI, AND WE REPRESENT 22

EIGHT CAR SHREDDERS WHO PROBABLY COMBINE TO RECYCLE

24	ABOUT	TWO	MILLIO	N CA	RS	EACH	Y	EAR.		
25			AS	YOU	PR	OBABI	ĹΥ	RECALL,	AB	1760
					75					

- 1 ENACTED IN 1991 PROVIDES THAT THIS BOARD SHALL
- 2 EVALUATE ASR FOR USE AS COVER AND TO EVALUATE ASR
- 3 AS COVER. AND I MUST SAY OVER THE LAST NUMBER OF
- 4 YEARS THAT YOUR STAFF HAS WITH ASSIDUOUSNESS,
- 5 METICULOUSNESS, CARE, EFFORT, TREMENDOUS RESOURCES
- 6 DONE EXACTLY THAT. AND SO I GUESS WHAT I'M HERE TO
- 7 SAY IS I'M HAPPY TO BE HERE ACTUALLY TO SAY PLEASE
- 8 SUPPORT YOUR STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU. AND
- 10 LARRY BURCH REPRESENTING WEST CONTRA COSTA COUNTY
- 11 SANITARY LANDFILL.
- MR. BURCH: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 13 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. WE'RE HERE REQUESTING THAT
- 14 YOU SUPPORT THE BOARD STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- 15 REGARDING THE ADC AND SPECIFICALLY THE TREATED AUTO
- 16 SHREDDER WASTE. I SHOW A PICTURE HERE OF OUR
- 17 LANDFILL. WE'RE A LITTLE UNIQUE IN CALIFORNIA IN
- 18 THAT WE HAVE A LOT OF WATER SURROUNDING US, THE
- 19 LITTLE PENINSULA LANDFILL, AND ALL SOIL THAT WE USE
- 20 FOR COVERING THE GARBAGE HAS TO BE BROUGHT ON THE
- 21 SITE. AND SO WE'RE QUITE INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT
- 22 ALTERNATIVES TO THAT COVER PROGRAM.
- 23 AND WE HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH THE
- 24 SNITZER STEEL PRODUCTS COMPANY WITH THE MATERIAL

THAT THEY HAVE AVAILABLE TO BE A CANDIDATE

1 ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER FOR OUR PROJECT. I JUST WANTED TO GO ON THE RECORD INDICATING THAT FOR 19 2 3 YEARS WE USED THE AUTO SHREDDER WASTE BEFORE IT WAS TREATED. AND UP TO 1988 WE WERE USING THAT 4 MATERIAL VERY SUCCESSFULLY, AND THEN SOMEBODY 5 DISCOVERED THAT THE SOLID WASTE REGULATIONS ONLY 6 ALLOWED SOIL TO BE USED AS COVERING FOR LANDFILLS 7 DAILY COVER. AND THAT WAS WHEN THE ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER IDEA WAS BORN. 9 10 ACTUALLY IN 1989 THE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD ADOPTED RESOLUTION 89-19 11 12 SPECIFICALLY FOR OUR SITE TO USE TREATED AUTO SHREDDER WASTE. IT WAS ABOUT THAT TIME THAT DTSC 13 WAS CONCERNED ABOUT THE LEAD MATERIALS THAT WERE IN 14 15 THE AUTO SHREDDER WASTE, AND THE SNITZER COMPANY, WORKING WITH OTHER COMPANIES, DEVELOPED THE 16 TREATMENT PROCESS THAT WOULD INERT THE LEAD. 17 AT THAT POINT WE GOT INTO SOME COMPLICATIONS ON 18 FOLLOWING THROUGH WITH THE ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER 19 PROGRAM FOR THE AUTO WASTES AT OUR SITE, BUT THE 20 21 ALTAMONT SITE STARTED TO USE THAT MATERIAL. AND THAT'S WHERE THE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT OCCURRED, 22

ALSO ONE OVER AT OX MOUNTAIN.

24			WE W	ANT	ТО	GO	ON	REC	ORD	AS	THAT	EVEN
25	THOUGH	WE	RECEIVED	THE	ΑŪ	JTO	WAS	STE	FOR	A	19-YE <i>I</i>	AR
77												

1 PERIOD, WE STILL HAVE YET TO FIND MERCURY AND LEAD 2 AND PCB'S IN THE LANDFILL LEACHATE, THE LANDFILL 3 RUNOFF, IN THE GROUNDWATER, SO WE THINK THAT THE 4 USE OF THIS MATERIAL IN THE LANDFILL IS VERY SAFE. 5 WE ARE READY TO START OUR NEW ADC PROGRAM WITH CONTRA COSTA COUNTY LEA ABOUT A MONTH 6 AGO, AND THEY NOTIFIED THAT WE NEEDED TO PROVIDE A 7 8 CHECK FOR \$10,000 TO HAVE THEM COME IN AND MONITOR OUR DEMONSTRATION PROJECT. YOU CAN SEE WE'RE QUITE 9 INTERESTED IN SEEING THESE REGULATIONS GO INTO 10 EFFECT HERE AND HAVE THIS AS A PREAPPROVED 11 12 MATERIAL. SO WE'RE HERE TO URGE YOU TO ACCEPT THE 13 BOARD STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION AND ENACT THESE INTO 14 REGULATIONS. THANK YOU. 15 MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE QUESTION FOR LARRY. HOW MANY YEARS OF DATA DO YOU HAVE THAT YOU 16 HAVEN'T SEEN ANY MERCURY OR ANY OF THOSE THINGS IN 17 18 THE WATER? BECAUSE THE AUTO SHREDDER IS AN ISSUE WITH THE RSU. AND I THINK WE NEED TO START 19 ACCUMULATING THAT. AND THEN IF YOU HAVE ANYBODY 20 21 LIVING ON THE LANDFILL, WE NEED TO KNOW THAT TOO SO WE CAN FIGURE OUT THE PATHWAYS. 22

MR. BURCH: WE HAVE NOBODY ACTUALLY LIVING

- ON THE LANDFILL, BUT WE HAVE PEOPLE THAT HAVE
- 25 RETIRED AFTER WORKING ON THE LANDFILL FOR 35 YEARS. 78

THEY'VE BEEN THERE FAIRLY CONSISTENTLY. OUR

1

19

20

21

22

2 MONITORING RECORDS, THE REGIONAL BOARD ADOPTED 3 WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS ON US FIRST IN 1973 4 FOR GROUNDWATER MONITORING. FOR THE LAST 12 YEARS WE HAVE MONITORED A POND THAT'S ON THE SOUTH SIDE 5 OF THE LANDFILL FOR ALL KINDS OF CONTAMINANTS AND 6 HAVE FOUND NOTHING. SO THAT'S THE SURFACE WATER 7 8 RUNOFF, ALL THE WINTER RUNOFF HAS GONE THERE. AND THE LEACHATE, WE DISCHARGE THAT TO THE WASTEWATER DISTRICT NEXT DOOR. THAT IS MONITORED QUARTERLY, 10 AND WE HAVE NOT HAD ANY FISH -- NONE OF THE 11 12 BIOASSAYS HAVE FAILED, NO LEAD, NO MERCURY, NO PCB'S. IT'S A VERY INNOCUOUS MATERIAL. 13 MEMBER JONES: THANK YOU, LARRY. 14 APPRECIATE IT. 15 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: NOW EVAN EDGAR. 16 MR. EDGAR: CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS, MY 17 NAME IS EVAN EDGAR OF EDGAR ASSOCIATES ON BEHALF OF 18

PACKAGES, TWO BILLS, AND ONE LAWSUIT, WE'RE HERE

THE CRRC LANDFILL OPERATORS, REPRESENTING THE

PRIVATE INDEPENDENT LANDFILL OPERATORS STATEWIDE.

OPTION NO. 1. AFTER FOUR YEARS, THREE REGULATORY

WE SUPPORT THE ADC REGULATIONS TODAY,

- 24 TODAY TO TALK ABOUT A FEW THICKNESSES OF A FEW
- 25 ADC'S. I'M GLAD IT'S COUNTED DOWN TO THIS 79

1 TECHNICAL DISCUSSION BECAUSE WE HAVE THE INFORMA-2 TION TO SUPPORT THE THICKNESSES. 3 I COMMEND BOTH SCOTT WALKER AND 4 ELLIOT BLOCK ON THE STRATEGIES TO REMOVING SOME OF THE TECHNICAL DISCUSSION ON SOME ADC'S TO MOVE 5 OTHER ADC'S FORWARD WITH REGARDS TO TARPS AND GREEN 6 7 WASTE. A LOT OF OUR MEMBER COMPANIES ARE ENJOYING THE BENEFIT OF THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO AHEAD WITH THE TARPS AND GREEN WASTE AND OTHER ADC'S THAT WERE ALLOWED TO BE APPROVED. AND THEN IN THE MEANTIME 10 WE'RE ABLE TO SUPPLY SCOTT WITH INFORMATION, AND 11 12 SCOTT WAS ABLE TO COMPILE THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO SUPPORT THE TECHNICAL THICKNESSES TO OAL. 13 14 COVER ONE THING THAT IS ALWAYS 15 BENEFICIAL AS PART OF THIS PROCESS WITH ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER IS TRANSFERRING THE INFORMATION BACK TO 16 THE LEA'S, AND THE BEST VEHICLE I KNOW OF ARE THE 17 LEA ADVISORIES. OVER THE YEARS I HAD A LOT OF HELP 18 WRITING LEA ADVISORIES FOR THE GREEN WASTE AND THE 19 TARPS. I BELIEVE IN ORDER TO GET THAT REGULATORY 20 21 LANGUAGE TO DECANT IT BACK DOWN TO OPERATIONAL EXPERIENCE AND HOW TO IMPLEMENT IN THE FIELD WOULD 22 23 BE VERY IMPORTANT TO GET LEA ADVISORIES OUT FOR

24	EACH	TYPE	OF A	ADC	IN	THIS	PACKA	GE.	AND	THAT	COULD
25	BE A	FOLLO	W-UI	P TH	ROU	GHOUT	THE	YEARS	AND	DOES	NOT
						80					

- 1 NEED TODAY'S -- BEFORE THESE REGULATIONS ARE
- 2 APPROVED. WE WOULD SUPPORT OPTION NO. 1 AND WITH
- 3 FOLLOW-UP LEA ADVISORIES IN THE FUTURE. THANK YOU
- 4 FOR YOUR SUPPORT TODAY.
- 5 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: THANK YOU. DISCUSSION?
- 6 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE
- 7 TO MOVE CONCURRENCE WITH RESOLUTION NO. 97-550.
- 8 MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 9 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: WE HAVE A MOTION AND
- 10 SECOND ON THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-550. IF
- 11 THE SECRETARY WILL CALL THE ROLL ON THAT, PLEASE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER RELIS.
- MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
- 15 MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN FRAZEE.
- 17 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: AYE. MOTION IS CARRIED.
- 18 AND I THINK THAT IS FINAL ACTION ON THAT. THAT
- 19 DOES NOT NEED TO GO TO THE BOARD.
- 20 MR. BLOCK: THAT WOULD NEED TO GO TO THE
- BOARD.
- 22 CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: FINE. THAT COMPLETES
- OUR AGENDA. IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION? WE WILL BE
- 24 MEETING IN CLOSED SESSION AT 1:30 P.M. TODAY, AND

25 SO WE WILL STAND IN RECESS UNTIL THAT TIME.

```
1
               MS. RICE: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD THAT FINAL
      ITEM BE CONSENT TO THE BOARD?
 2
 3
               CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: MY UNDERSTANDING WAS
 4
      THAT IT DIDN'T NEED TO GO TO THE BOARD AT ALL.
 5
               MS. RICE: THIS IS FOR ADOPTION OF THE
 6
      REGULATIONS. THE BOARD NEEDS TO ADOPT THE
 7
      REGULATIONS.
 8
               CHAIRMAN FRAZEE: OKAY. YES. WITHOUT
 9
      OBJECTION, WE'LL RECOMMEND CONSENT.
               MS. RICE: GREAT. THANK YOU.
10
11
12
                    (THE COMMITTEE WAS RECESSED AT 11:10
      A.M. UNTIL 1:30 P.M., AT WHICH TIME A CLOSED
13
14
      SESSION WAS HELD AT THE CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE
15
      MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```